



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_31129
Title of the Manuscript:	The study of farmers' knowledge about the role of agricultural extension in the agriculture development in Opolski County, LubelskieProvince, Poland
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is well organised with sound objectives focusing on targeted community. Technically the paper is acceptable; sufficient research methods were employed for said objectives. The main drawback of the manuscript is English language. Number of times there is mistake of extra spaces (typesetting) and sometimes there were no spaces between two words. Even in the article title there is no space in last two three words. There is haphazard pattern of English used, it seems the authors were not the English native, due to which in whole manuscript clear meanings cannot be withdrawn. Also there were some references which were not cited properly and uncited references were present in references section. In methodology, the population sample is too small, normally 150-250 respondents must be selected, but in present case only 50 were selected, but even it can be recommended in surveyed county. Results section needs little improvement, for standard reporting (Please consult already published papers). Discussion section is not so strong, it is recommended if authors can improve it. Also some statistics were ran, please mention clearly in methodology section. Plagiarism issue- Plagiarism is in limits (18%), but ethically authors should not copy the whole sentence from already	- It has been corrected the mistake of extra spaces between the words in the sentences. - The author has been corrected the English Language for article. - The author has been checked the references in article. - The number of sample in article is 50 because the collecting of data from farmers not easy and the farmers need fee about answer for questioner. - About the result of article. It is normal because the - The statistics were used in article. It clearly in methodology section and the Important were written. - All the sentences in yellow colour, it have been checked and corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	published material, therefore it is requested to remove plagiarism by consulting attached report from TURNITIN software.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		