



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_31099
Title of the Manuscript:	SAME VEGETABLE DIFFERENT USES: CASE STUDY OF AFRICAN NIGHTSHADES (<i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.) SPECIES AMONG THREE KENYAN COMMUNITIES
New title:	INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE ON THE USES OF AFRICAN NIGHTSHADES (<i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.) SPECIES AMONG THREE KENYAN COMMUNITIES
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author needs to work on the manuscript. The language used in the write-up should be plain and communicate the ideas well to readers. The author has addressed some of the comments made but still more work need to be done. The comments are within the manuscript Some of the deductions are not scientific.	- We have examined the manuscript and the comments made by the reviewer were valid. We have to a great degree used plain language and revised the manuscript to communicate to the reader. The deductions that are not scientific, we have replaced them with more scientific information. - In the methodology section, the extra details in the description have been deleted. Key methodological issues are retained. - The description of the Focus Group Composition was not part of the criteria for selection, but explains who participated/was interviewed, which are methodological issues. - Repeated information has been deleted These changes are highlighted yellow in the revised manuscript.