



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_31099
Title of the Manuscript:	SAME VEGETABLE DIFFERENT USES: CASE STUDY OF AFRICAN NIGHTSHADES (<i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.) SPECIES AMONG THREE KENYAN COMMUNITIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript showed high similarity with article titled “Status and Production Practices of Vegetable African Nightshade (Solanum nigrum L.) in Selected Communities of Kenya” published in American Journal of Experimental Agriculture 13(3): 1-12, 2016, Article no.AJEA.27363 ISSN: 2231-0606 (Onyango et al.) The some sentences is the same in “Material and Methods” section in both article. The part of the results and Table 1 is the same in both article. This article should be revised and similar subject discarded. Other article should be used as reference. Similar subject with other article should be given as literature in both introduction and discussion. Spelling mistakes should be corrected in the manuscript Introduction should be reviewed. Historical development of the issue can be given like the Review of Literature. It should be defined the problem clearly, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. Introduction should be enriched by the literature published in recent years.	The manuscript has been revised to remove similarities in text and especially the results section. The methodology has been revised slightly given that the methods used to collect data for the article published in Journal of experimental agriculture was basically the same with minor modifications –this has been revised in the article. The published article has been used to strengthen the introduction/literature review section. Revision highlighted in yellow in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General I comments	This article should be revised and similar subject with article titled “Status and Production Practices of Vegetable African Nightshade (Solanum nigrum L.) in Selected Communities of Kenya” discarded. Onyango, C. M., & Ontita, E. G. Status and Production Practices of Vegetable African Nightshade (Solanum nigrum L.) in Selected Communities of Kenya. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Cecilia_Onyango/publication/305741564_Status_and_Production_Practices_of_Vegetable_African_Nightshade_Solanum_nigrum_L_in_Selected_Communities_of_Kenya/links/57e5068a08aef5d0161b4e7a.pdf	This revision has been done and highlighted in yellow in the revised manuscript