



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_31099
Title of the Manuscript:	SAME VEGETABLE DIFFERENT USES: CASE STUDY OF AFRICAN NIGHTSHADES (<i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.) SPECIES AMONG THREE KENYAN COMMUNITIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The title is misleading and need to be modified The introduction was insufficient. The results in the tables and figures were repeated in the text format. The results should be described The results did not show the actual data sample composition for the 630 respondents. It showed only the percentage compositions of gender. Whereas the individual compositions for focus group discussions and key informants were reported. Other correction were made on the manuscript	The suggested title has been adopted “Indigenous knowledge on the uses of African nightshades (<i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.) species among three Kenyan communities” -The introduction has been beefed up - The results have been described as suggested and the data was analysed for percentage of the 630 respondents used in the study. - The focus group discussion results were analysed and scenarios generated documenting significant cases. - All the other suggestions in the manuscript have been effected and are highlighted in yellow in the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		