



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_31076
Title of the Manuscript:	Rural Women Empowerment in New Valley Governorate, Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the page of the site referring to "General Guideline for Authors", I located the requirements with regard to "Results & Discussion", in this same explicit the following: "Citations should be given in support of the findings". However, the present article does not present any citation in the discussion of the work.	Noted, Citations should be (not must) given in support of the findings; many articles did not have any citations in discussions, some other have, so citations are preferable to support the findings but the research could go without it.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		