



SDI Review Form 1.6

Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology	Journal Name:
Ms_AJAEES_30765	Manuscript Number:
COOPERATIVE AND CONTRACT FARMING FOR EXPORT CROPS IN THE GUATEMALA HIGHLANDS	Title of the Manuscript:
Original Research Article	Type of the Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
	Abstract - Please write shorter and more concise abstract. Abstract should be written in one paragraph. Line 58-59 - Should be written "... markets are more concentrated than they used to be, at all level..." (no need to put "."). Line 43-71 - Paragraphing. Please write shorter paragraph because it will be easier to attain readers' attention with shorter sentence. Don't be afraid to segregate your idea into smaller paragraph. Make sure the paragraphs are segregated into cohesively. Please rephrase line 83-86. Line 87 - What CCCC stands for? Line 89 - "... zuchini and sugar snap peas." Line 91 - Rephrase, grammar	<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments Done, it was too long and I have reduced to 299 words. The limit is 300. Very strange, we do not see any ". " Done Done We have added that the real name cannot be revealed Done



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Table 1 - What is LF, TA and T? Unfamiliar readers would be left guessing. Line 131 to 134 - Please rephrase as I do not understand what you are trying to convey here. Line 252 - "Below is a description about the type of variables, questions from the survey and codification of answers."	done done done we changed, because we did the Table 2 as you suggested.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 160 - Who are these downstream actors? Please elaborate Line 254 to 269 - Good that author decides to include description of the variable here. My suggestion is, perhaps the description of the variables and the codification of the answers to be presented systematically using a table. That way, the written information will be better presented and tidy.	We have changed with "other actors" to make things easier. In Marketing, downstream actors are the various types of middlemen, traders, first processors, second processors, traders, retailers.. which interact to get a final product to the consumers. Done, See new Table 2
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line 97 to 125 - talks about CCCC network of actors and services. It is good that the author tries to describe the networking in length here. But it is better to illustrate the dynamics of the actors in a flowchart or figure. If it is possible, capture it in illustrative figure, but if it is not possible it is okay.	It is a good suggestion, but a) we are running short of time, and b) even if we introduce a new figure, still it should be explained and commented. This article is already quite long. Consequently, we decided not to try to draw a figure.

We = the authors, wish to thank you for your time and for your suggestions. We did our best to meet your suggestions and to improve our article, by taking into account also the comments and suggestions of the other two Reviewers.