



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_30701
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Knowledge and Attitude of Extension Professionals to Climate Change in Anambra State, Nigeria : A Multivariate Logistic Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript presents an interesting and innovative contribution to the discussion on adapting to climate change, especially for working in a strategic area for the world and, above all, for the African continent: agriculture. The text is well-founded, the analyzes has a consistent procedures, as well as, follow the requirements of scientific communication. The manuscript fulfilled its aim, as well as offers interesting questions for the discussion of the role of rural extension in the face of climate change. Moreover, it is a replicable work, by the way, that should be done! after all it offers parameters for a global comprehension / comparison of the agrarian structure face to Climate Change. However, there is a negative point: there are more current bibliographies and international references that deal with the theme that the author does not mention (The most recent bibliography is from 2012), so I suggest more attention to the recent bibliography on adaptation to Climate Change, I missed important names.	Recall that the introductory section of the study is based on projections, estimations and observations of climate change issues by scholars and earlier popular works on climate change. Besides most of the current studies take root from them and are founded on some of the works (eg. IPCC, 2007, UNFCCC). These works remain current since most recent discourse revolves on similar ideas earlier projected by these works. Above all some are fundamental issues on climate change which are largely consistent
Minor REVISION comments	In the first page, have typing problems, as there are non-standard bibliographies. I suggest a review on these items. Ethical issue: The work is based on the application of questionnaires in a particular population group, in general, works that involving this methodology must be	This is accepted by the Journal because a citation may not always come at the end of a sentence and using number to begin a sentence does not agree with the international standard of practice in publication. Yes. The instrument for data collection was validated and approved by team of researchers and experts before administering to



SDI Review Form 1.6

	approved by a research ethics committee	respondents. They represent the research ethics committee of the department.
Optional/General comments	As a suggestion: the manuscript drew my attention, especially in the references to Climate Change as an action of God's wrath (especially in line 202). In my view, it would be interesting to Offer to reader some element about religiosity in the study area. Just as another suggestion, if there is continuity of the research, would be interesting add the religiosity as another variable in the study.	I am restricted by the volume of the manuscript but accept in total that the element of religion should be included as a variable in future studies.