



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_30186
Title of the Manuscript:	Transaction Cost Analysis of Maize in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Revise use of cited in..... and rather use original source documents 2. Explain or justify use data analyses technique, state basis for selection of the technique, evidence of its application to similar studies by other authors, its strengths vis-viz purpose of study etc 3. On key words consider including maize and Bangladesh 4. Author need to discuss results and findings in more detail, make comparisons with studies on similar subject	1. It is already done. 2. It is done. 3. It is done. 4. It is done.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Author to consider use of other data analyses techniques 2. Inclusion of too many figures in the abstract need revision 3. Grammar and sentence structuring need to be revised	1. I think, it is logical. 2. I think, it is logical. 3. It is done.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article needs revisions indicated above	