



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_29934
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of arable crop farmers on usage of organic fertilizer in maize production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: Line 1: Consider the relevance of including the "socio-economic" context to capture results in Table 5. Objectives Line 53. Does the study fully achieve all the objectives? If not consider reviewing to include only those objectives that will be fully exhausted by the study. Grammatical Mistakes and missing text Lines 10,31,182,213,246 Tables Avoid spill over tables Line 202 have relevant explanatory text between tables. Avoid tables that follow each other. Line 204 Table 2b I didn't see table 2a. Line 205 have relevant explanatory text after the table. Line 291. Table 5. Consider additional socio –	This may give the topic another focus and the topic may be too long. Yes, both the broad and specific objectives were captured. Line 10: Data were analysed using with Line 31: In spite of the economic importance of maize to the teeming populace in in Nigeria, its production has not over time meet met food and industrial needs. Line 182: ~~potential benefits accrued to it~~ its benefits Line 246: ~~requirement, Also, 50.0%)~~ requirement. Also, 50.0% ... Noted Noted Noted Table two is supposed to be 2a. However, table labeled 2a should be ignored and be left as table 2. Table 2b will be deleted (i.e. table 2b is not necessary) This will be ignored since there is no table 2b again. I would have love to do so but it has been



SDI Review Form 1.6

	economic variables that may influence perception.	corrected as personal characteristics as suggested by the other reviewer.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 252 Table 4. Perceptions of respondents on organic fertilizers should be detailed fully. Include also such perception that scored highly on SA and A. Line 291 The findings on Table 5 should be incorporated into the overall conclusion of the paper.	Perception arable crop farmers on the use of organic fertilizers for maize production ~~by the respondents~~ Sir, I don't understand what you mean, however, I used the mean to extract the important perception statements. Noted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Conclusion: Line 303. Relate your research to other findings in this area by citing relevant studies and related findings.	Noted