



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                           |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>                        |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_29309                                                                                           |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Characterisation and challenges of melon farmers in Enugu Ezike Agricultural Zone of Enugu State, Nigeria |
| Type of the Article      |                                                                                                           |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <b>This is good manuscript. However, the author (s) should attend to the 1-10 items listed at the bottom of this evaluation form.</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <p><b>Comments:</b></p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What was N from which sample (n) was taken; the Methodology section explains better than in the abstract.</li> <li>2. Findings revealed not reveal.</li> <li>3. Beginning a sentence with a number in % not words line 16; write it in word.</li> <li>4. Put commas between key words and add characterization, challenges and facto analysis.</li> <li>5. Be mindful of punctuations eg line 27 earner, (Adam, B..., 2013).</li> <li>6. Line 30, edit start from l 29 One... sector. Which ... (punctuation problem)</li> <li>7. Line 34 Replace It's with "The.."</li> <li>8. Line 42 edit .Oluba, 2011 to Oluba (2011)</li> <li>9. No report of <b>Validity &amp; Reliability</b> of instrument, authenticity of findings questionable without these.</li> <li>10. Recommendations written as phrases instead of strong action perspective.</li> </ol> <p>Overall, this Manuscript should be published subject to the suggestions made.</p> | <p>That is what it should be. Details of the study are contained in the main work and not in the abstract.</p> <p>Corrections were made</p>                                          |



SDI Review Form 1.6

|                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                           |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments | As already pointed out, this is good manuscript that requires cleaning in terms of technical writing and editorial work especially punctuation and Instrument-<br><b>Validity and Reliability; including target population (N).</b> | Selection was done purposively to capture melon farmers . |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|