



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_27048
Title of the Manuscript:	Soybean Value Chain Assessment Study In Northwestern Ethiopia, Metekel Zone
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the last sentence in Abstract - the results should be formulated in a clear way: 1) ... 2) ... 3) ... What is the purpose of the survey in the middle of Introduction? What are the conclusions? The relationship to the purposes of the article? Section 3. The following data are not clear: "Soybean oil is dominant in the world market, accounts about 30% of total vegetable oils. In global market, its oil share is estimated nearly 44%,..." Sections 4.1 and 4.2 include interesting data but the style of these Sections should be improved. Sub-sections in "Section 4.1. Production Information Interviews" are not structured enough. They are overloaded with details. Not clear, what is common and what is different between the farmers in the context of the article. The same is regarding sub-sections in Section 4.2. Section 5: the number, the name and the legend of the figure are absent. Section 6 – this is partly the explanation of the figure from Section 5. The explanation is not full. What follows from this figure? Section 7 – the same comment as for Section 5.	Thank you very much! As much as possible I have made corrections as per your comments in any possibilities. I have been accepted all your constructive comments, honestly speaking I got nice suggestions and comments thank you so much!, in my correction I have highlighted by yellow colour from you can see from the original paper. I accepted the comment and I made more clear in this section 3. I have made corrections I have made corrections



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Section 10. Conclusions – this is actually discussion. Clear 3-4 conclusion from the study are absent. You can name this section “Discussion and conclusions”, and reformulate and complete the conclusions.	
Minor REVISION comments	In abstract: pawe town or Pawe town? Page 2: what is CSA?	Ok thank you, Pawe town CSA means “Central Statistical Authority”
Optional/General comments	Punctuation editing is needed in some sentences. The same is regarding English editing. For example: “The participants were raised different issues...”, “...were cost from 10 minutes to 2 hours...” in Section 2. “...were sell their product immediately...” in Section 4.2.1.	Ok thanks, as possible I made to correct grammatical flow.