



	Editor's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• The methodology needs more elaborating, since the discussed one has few minor notes. • Table 2 was repeated twice. • The (Table 2) was given twice to two different tables. Therefore all the tables need to be re-numbered again. As a result, authors need to re-check the discussions and make the corrections accordingly.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	• Based on the review, I believe that the presented information was good and cover the topic very well. • The purpose of the study is well-defined and the findings were discussed according to the purpose. • The conclusions and their discussions were supported by the data and the explanation cover the findings well. • The English writing was well done. • I believe that the article worth publishing after	



	making few minor corrections.	
--	-------------------------------	--

Editor's Details:

Dr. Jamal Alrusheidat

Assistant and Consultant to Director general for Extension Education
Director of Extension Education Department, National Centre for Agricultural Research and Extension (NCARE), Amman, Jordan