

DETERMINANTS OF AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES SELECTIONS AND ADOPTIONS OF RURAL HOUSEHOLDS IN THE COTTON BASIN OF BENIN

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to identify the determinants of the various agricultural activities selected and adopted by producers in the cotton zones of Benin. With a multilogit estimation, a sample of 702 producers of different agricultural activities is extracted in a random way. Results of the estimation show that several factors inhibit the agricultural growth in the cotton zones of Benin. These factors are organizational, institutional, political and sociocultural order. The constant implication to decision-makers and producers in the implementation of sustainable agricultural growth have to be operated by, grouping farmers' organizations in each agricultural sector, base training, complete distribution of new innovations. The structures of vulgarization have to integrate the perception or producers expectations and question about reluctances of producers to fully integrate recommendations relative to practices for improving durably productivity of production systems through agricultural activities selections and adoptions.

Keywords- Selection – adoption - agricultural activities - selection models -

JEL Classification - D13, O15

1. Introduction

Although agriculture occupies about 80 %¹ of the working population of Benin, it still unable to feed the whole population. This non-performance is not only due to the socioeconomic and climatic conditions. In the cotton zones of Benin, producers seem to be agro-pastoral type with crops production in predominance (MAEP, 2014). The cultural system belongs, according to the typology of various cotton zones agro-ecological potentialities, to the cereal system based on cotton. Indeed, cereal and tubers (maize, sorghum, millet, manioc and yam) occupy less than 45 % of tilled surfaces in the cotton zones. The cultural sequences are characterized by the systematic alternation of cotton with cereal and tubers, with frequently the rotations cotton /maize; cotton/ yam; cotton/mil; cotton / manioc The maize is the most cultivated cereal in all cotton zones, marketed toward the south of Benin and the bordering countries, but it is not consumable in these zones. The Other cereals and tubers (yam, manioc, and millet) are partially intended for subsistence farming, but also marketed. Systems of selection and adoptions of agricultural activities became some strategies for producers to increase their productions and productivity, their agricultural income and especially in the optics to guarantee their food security and welfare. The cotton is the main culture in the North - Benin. This culture benefits supports from a national structure which intervenes in the campaign organization since the production until the marketing. The structure made available credits inputs for producers. A big part of the cotton production is exported towards Europe and Asia.

Cereals constitute the main base of the daily food ration of Beninese. The notion of cereal balance sheet is used in Benin to estimate the cover of the country's food needs. Today, although the notion of food security cannot be appreciated in terms of cereal balance sheet but in terms of quantity of calories and micronutrients consumed, it is opportune that we consider as base of calculation the essential component of the daily food ration. Thiombiano (1992) indicates that cereals constitute the main component of the daily food ration in rural areas (70 to 80 %). In spite of the important role of cereal in the daily food ration, the general trend of the cotton production growth in departments of North Benin is in full evolution. The cotton production in this zone has increased during the campaign 2011-2012, from 130 000 tons for the last campaign to about 300 000 tons for this campaign MAEP (2013). The cotton, due to its importance for the economy of Benin, is the sector which continues to benefit of some particular attentions for its upturn. The campaign 2011-2012 was the object of a quite particular attention of the government and which was lead to the inputs subsidy and the improvement of the purchase prices of cotton seeds for producers. During

¹ INSAE (2014).

50 this agricultural campaign of 2011-2012, the government of Benin decided, among others, to
51 satisfy the request of producers to reduce from 240 to 220 CFA francs (1 US dollars = 499 CFA
52 francs) the kilogram, or from 12.000 CFA francs to 11.000 CFA francs the 50 kg bag selling price
53 of fertilizers to cotton producers, and to pay to producers the first choice of cotton seed at 250 /
54 KG against 200 CFA francs in 2010-2011 and 190 CFA francs during campaigns 2008-2009 and
55 2009-2010 and second choice at 200 CFA francs / KG against 140 CFA francs / KG for the
56 campaign 2009-2010 and 160 CFA francs /kg for the campaign 2010-2011 (MAEP, 2013).

57 This cotton growth poses a problem of selection and adoption between the cotton and the food
58 productions. Selections and adoptions of agricultural activities continue to occupy an important
59 place in the strategies of agriculture boosting as the base of the economy of Benin. The population
60 growth with an average of 3, 25 % between 2002 and 2008; 3.73 % in 2011; 4. 78 % in 2013
61 seems to be very strong compared with agricultural development indications estimated to 2.5 % in
62 2011; 2.4 % in 2012 and 3.6 % for 2013 (MAEP, 2014). Some agricultural product such as maize,
63 manioc and niebe are marketed in informal way towards Niger, Nigeria, Burkina Faso and Togo
64 where the demands are very high (ONASA, 2014). The high demands of these speculations in
65 these bordering countries infer the increase of the food goods prices in Benin. Nigeria, only
66 absorbs more than 45 % of the informal marketing of these food productions (ONASA, 2014). This
67 sector characterized by a weak growth due to numerous necks of structural, organizational,
68 institutional and political order. The cotton basin produces more than 78 % of the “white gold” in
69 Benin (MAEP, 2015). This basin covers, except the sub prefecture of Gogounou, the sub-
70 prefectures of Ségbana, Banikoara, the Urban District of Kandi in the department of Borgou and
71 the sub-prefecture of Kérou in the department of Atacora. The basin named ZAE ² is estimated at
72 20.930 km² (that is, 18 % of the national territory) among which approximately 56 % of arable
73 land. It is bounded at East by the Federal republic of Nigeria, at North by the sub-prefectures of
74 Malanville, Karimama and by the Republic of Burkina Faso; at South by the sub-prefectures of
75 Kalalé, Bembéréké, Silence, Péhunco and Kouandé; and at West by the sub-prefecture of
76 Tanguéta. The agricultural activity in the ZAE2 was only limited to subsistence crops such as
77 sorghum, yam, millet, peanut, maize, niebe, manioc, sesame, sweet potato, etc. The rice is known
78 and practised in the village since the beginning of the 50s with French Company for Textiles
79 Development ((Compagnie française pour le Développement des Textiles (CFDT)). The cotton
80 production seems from now on associated with subsistence crops as maize, manioc, sorghum, rice,
81 etc. The ZAE2 is characterized by two seasons: a rainy season spread over 6 months from April to
82 October and which would grow shorter more and more. It really settles down from May in the best
83 case, and a dry season which begins in October and finish in March. The annual average rainfall
84 oscillates between 800 and 1200 mm. The period of vegetative growth varies between 140 and 180
85 days. In the ZAE 2 the pluviometry is very irregular and this shows itself in several ways: early,
86 late starting up; rough interruption; premature or late end; etc. From the beginning of 2013, we
87 note that the annual height of water exceeds hardly 1000 mm while for the previous period this
88 height was reached more frequently. Besides, rains were distributed on a number of higher days
89 before 1980; it often rained during more than 80 days a year against approximately hardly 70 days
90 during the last two decades. The dominant vegetation is a grassy raised savanna strongly degraded
91 by the anthropological influence, so evolving towards the shrubby savanna. We distinguish three
92 types of grounds: the argilo-muddy grounds: they are very convenient to the agriculture, in
93 particular to the culture of yam, and meet in the slums and forest galleries; the sandy grounds: they
94 are generally little fertile and quickly dry out. The monopoly of cotton exportation is granted to a
95 public company named SONAPRA (Société Nationale pour la Promotion Agricole) which is the
96 only one to take care on the collection's organization to farmers and shelling. In the ZAE 2,
97 between 1995 and 2012, the intensity of selection and adoption passed from 28,5 % to 42,3 % for
98 maize, from 24 % to 29 % for niebe, from 64 % to 100% for peanut and 74 % for cotton , MAEP
99 (2013). What are thus the socio-economic determinants of agricultural activities' selections and
100 adoptions in the cotton zones of Benin? We hoped this article contribute to the sustainable
101 development of agricultural research in Benin. After a literature review in second section, the third
102 section exposes first of all the model and the econometric options. It describes then the data and
103 specifies variables. The fourth section presents results and discussions, and the fifth proposes the
104 conclusion.

²Agro-ecologique Zone 2

In theory, the contribution of selections and adoptions of the agricultural production activities to the agricultural, industrial and commercial sectors development is known. It imposes agricultural productions strategies centred on optimizations of the agricultural income and the economic efficiency. These selections and adoptions infer some demanding operations in energy as the ground works, improve the performances of operations needing technicality as sowing and hoeing, allows to increase the cultivated surfaces and to value the human work for less painful or more productive tasks. At the level of farms, these improvements are estimated by the increase of the production and the productivity (lands, labor) and the reduction of production cost. Selections and adoption of the agricultural activities are inevitably oriented on the producer theory of well-being. For that, Easterlin (2001) confirms that there is a weak and positive relation between agricultural income and happiness when we compare at a given instant, persons having different incomes, but no relation when we make a comparison in time. These works also underline the existence of important differences in satisfactions declared by producers from the point of view of their economic development. The research for new evaluation tools for the individual well-being and for the social choice took in short, two different ways: i) the feed-back on the hedonist conception of utility and ii) the adoption of more objective definitions of life quality. The happiness felt by producers is the only component of the well-being, why not to conceive indicators which allow to measure it directly with the concerned? The economy of happiness³, which was developed in the 1990s, is characterized by the feed-back on the hedonist conception of utility. In another hand, empirical confirmation of the importance of adaptation phenomenon gives all its relevance to the criticism of the welfarist frame and to the approaches which suggest estimating the people position and comparing it with other types of data than those supplied by subjective indications of the well-being. The Social situation of a producer can be measured, for example, based on resources, by the detention of goods in a rank of premium goods⁴ or by life quality's objective indicators such as the life expectancy, the level of nutrition, the level of qualifications, according to Sen (1991). In these approaches, the components of the well-being are not only defined in reference to producer's preferences. The "list" of the well-being factors can be elaborated by ethical criteria that defines the quality of life. The theory of the capability of Sen (1985) constitutes at this time one of the most important alternative metrics of welfarism. The welfare components, named functioning by Sen, indicate social or physical activities or states of the existence. In the approach of Sen (1985), the situation of people is not estimated by the result really reached in terms of realizations but by the set of selections accessible to people that Sen called capabilities. For the physiocrats, the procurement of the remunerative price by farmers is a central objective and will become the sign of the kingdom prosperity. «It is necessary to consider as a sacred principle, that what constitutes the state of Empire prosperity, is the competition of the big population, the abundance and the good productions price. François Quesnay had insisted on the fact that "such is the market value, such is the income." Not only has the good price favored the agriculture progress, added Quesnay: but it is in the good price that consist the wealth which it gets. Unproductiveness with the abundance is not wealth; the high price with shortage is poverty; the abundance with high price is wealthiness. Numerous authors of this stream of thought such Lewis (1955), Hirschman (1958) and Mellor (1966) dedicated many important papers.

The agricultural activity selection and adoption are consecutives, with a certain delays for apprehension, because certain agricultural producers need to be convinced of the profit expected by the agricultural activities selection and adoption, whereas others will need time to mobilize their financial and human resources. In this perspective, certain empirical works such as those of Adéoti and al (2002), show that the highest rates of adoption were recorded with the improved varieties; more than 95 % in Ghana and in Burkina Faso. The low rates of adoption of improved varieties were observed in Senegal and in Benin, 20 - 30 %. Likewise, Ntsama et al (2008) explains that the

³ The term "economy of happiness" is used in a common way since its entrance to New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics with Carol Graham's article "The Economics of Happiness". For a general presentation of this stream see for example Bernard M. S Van Praag, «Perspectives from the Happiness Literature and the Role of New Instruments for Policy Analysis», Iza, Discussion Paper, 2568, January, 2007.

⁴ Rawls (1987)

determinants factors of selections and adoptions of new varieties of maize (CMS, 8704) are: the academic level, the membership in a peasant organization and the commercial orientation. On the other hand, the age, the surface, the sex and the risk seem to have no effect on the adoption of the improved variety. In the same perspective, Morel (1998) explains that the high climate change determines the agricultural activities' selections and adoptions. Paturel and al (1995) shows that changes of agricultural activities selection and adoption are rather oriented on an environment degradation which is indicated by the decrease of the farming yields. Thirtle and al (2003) shows that an increase of 1 % of the agricultural productivity in Africa, reduces the poverty of about 0,6 %, and the increase of 1 % of the production drops of 6 million the number of people living with less than 1 US dollar a day.

3. Data, model regression and econometrics option

3.1. Data collection

Several methods are used by the "Centre d'Action Régional Pour le Développement Rural (CARDER)" of Borgou-Alibori (Parakou) and Atacora-Donga (Natitingou) under the direction of the "Ministère de l'Agriculture de l'Elevage et de la Pêche (MAEP)" for data collection. The first category of technics is the Accelerated Method of Participative Research (AMPR). This is oriented on the works of Ghirotti(1994) and Nianogo (1994). The advantage of this method, is that it generates quite soon, information which are analyzed with producers' cooperation. The application of AMPR requires a high quality of communicative and sensibility, Water Bayer and Bayer (1995). Results supply a first understanding of the potentialities and constraints of the agricultural activities selection and adoption systems. This method thus allows to identify the various selections and adoptions of generative agricultural activities income, the various techniques associated with strategies of selection and adoption operated by producers. It also allows producers to explain the choice of new technologies proposed by the technical supervisions. The information collected in 2013 2014 during the sessions of the AMPR concerns the objectives of selections and adoptions of such agricultural activities of productions (strategies of productions) and their level of realization. The use of internal inputs and / or externals relative to their agricultural production selection and adoption strategy, the cultural varieties and animal species, the evolution of the physical performances of selected and adopted agricultural activities, the relative explanations and the corrective strategies brought between producers and agricultural supervision agents. Information on a number of parameters and factors are also collected such as, the practice of non-agricultural activities; the academic and educational level; the uncertainties and risks perception; the agroecological potentialities of cultivated surfaces; the health annual expenses, the annual children's educational and accommodation expenses; the inputs and cash credits access and the agricultural income delay and the membership in an agricultural cooperative. The second method of data collection uses at first the agricultural production evaluation slip from selections and adoptions operated by producers; from the agricultural, breeding and nonagricultural activities association. A survey in two passages concerning more than one thirds of producers is led in each of cotton zone. The socio-demographic characteristics, the vegetable and animal speculations operated by producers, the grounds and cattle food fertilization techniques, the uses of products and of agricultural and non-agricultural income are collected. The constraints and the potentialities of the agricultural and non-agricultural activities are questioned. At all, on 750 agricultural rural households, 702 gave reliable responses compared with the first passage, that is the rate of pertinence and reliability is 93.6 % of collected information. The choice of agricultural producers is in a random way and without focus group. The number of agricultural producers selected in every zone varies according to the density and its agro-ecological potentiality.

3.2. Variables specification and model regression

- X_i (actagrc) the explanatory variable identifying the selected and adopted agricultural activities by producers in the cotton zones. We make the hypothesis that the choice of an agricultural activity by a producer is conditioned by the utility which it gets to him. Thus this choice influences positively and significantly (+) his well-being. With $i = 1 \dots 6$. Where

- actcton: the variable unique cotton agricultural activity (actcton m=1) i

with $S_1 = 1 \dots 122$ on 702 of the sample; We make the hypothesis that the production of the cotton is the one dominant in the zone of study. This production gets

210 more utility than the other agricultural productions. The choice of this agricultural activity
 211 has a positive and significant incidence (+) on the well-being of the producers. actcmas
 212 (m =2) : the variable cotton production activity associated with maize, $iS_2 =$
 213 1.....184 on 702 of the sample; We make the hypothesis that the production of the
 214 cotton is the one dominant in the zone of study. This production gets more utility than the
 215 other agricultural productions. The choice of this agricultural activity has a positive and
 216 significant incidence (+) on the well-being of the producers.

217 ▪ actctomil (m = 3) : the variable cotton production activity associated with millet, , I
 218 with $S_3 = 1.....113$ on 702 of the sample ; We make the hypothesis that the production
 219 of the millet associated with the cotton (actctomil) adopted in the optics to assure and to
 220 guarantee especially the food security of the producer. This strategy induce a positive and
 221 significant incidence (+) on the producer's well-being.

222 ▪ actctomac (m =4) : the variable cotton production activity associated with manioc, I with
 223 $S_4 = 1.....105$ on 702 of the sample ; We postulate that this strategy of
 224 agricultural production (actctomac) impacts substantially the agricultural income as far as
 225 the productions are marketed. This strategy induces a positive and significant incidence (+)
 226 on the well-being of the producer.

227 ▪ actctonts (m=5): the variable cotton production activity associated with the others
 228 variables not considered in this article, i with $S_5 = 1.. 51$ on 702 of the sample; We make
 229 the hypothesis that the other agricultural activities associated with the cotton (actctonts),
 230 not identified in this article do not induce high agricultural income. This strategy impacts
 231 negatively and significantly (-) the well-being of the producer.

232 ▪ Actctoigme (m=6): the variable cotton production activity associated with yam, I avec $S_6 =$
 233 1... 127 on 702 of the sample; we make the hypothesis that this agricultural strategy is
 234 adopted to assure the short and long-term food security of the producer. It induces a strong
 235 consumption and a marketing of the yam; then the marketing of the cotton. Thus it
 236 generates a positive and significant incidence (+) on the well-being of the producer.

237 ▪ assv: the variable assistance of agricultural technical vulgarization.it is a dummy , with u =
 238 0 and 1. Where 0 corresponds in no access and 1 access to the technical vulgarization
 239 assistance. 502 (71.51 %) producers don't have access, against 200 (28.49 %) which have
 240 access to the assistance of technical vulgarization; We make the hypothesis that the
 241 producers who benefit from the support of the assistance of vulgarization (assv) have
 242 better agricultural productivity. These best agricultural productivity induces a positive and
 243 significant incidence (+) on the well-being of the producer.

244 ▪ mbrec: the variable , be a member of a cooperative or an agricultural or peasant
 245 organization. It is a dummy, with h = 0 and 1. Where 0 corresponds to not be membership
 246 and 1 to be a membership in an agricultural cooperative. 403 (57.41 %) producers are not a
 247 member of an agricultural or peasant cooperative, against 299 (42.59) who are a
 248 membership of an agricultural or peasant cooperative; The membership in an agricultural
 249 (mbrec) cooperative generates an organizational entrepreneurial capacity at the producer. It
 250 thus favors an increase of the agricultural productivity. It thus infers a positive and
 251 significant incidence (+) on the well-being of the producer.

252 ▪ Access: the variable access to the cash credits of the microfinance institutions. It is a
 253 dummy, with t = 0 and 1. Where 0 corresponds no access to cash credits and 1 access to
 254 cash credits. 424 (60.40 %) producers don't have access to cash credits against 278 (39.60
 255 %) which have access to the cash credits; we make the hypothesis that the agricultural cash
 256 credits (Access) is diverted towards other purposes. These cash credits thus infer a
 257 significant decrease (-) of the production, the productivity and the degradation of the long-
 258 term well-being of the producer.

259 ▪ acesint: the variable access to inputs credits. It is a dummy, with q=0 and 1. Where 0
 260 corresponds no access to credits inputs and 1 access to credits inputs. 378 (53.77 %) producers
 261 don't have access to the credits inputs, against 325 (46.2 %) producers who have
 262 access to inputs credits; We make the hypothesis that the inputs credits (acesint) are often

263 disposed towards the bordering countries of Benin. These credits cannot thus increase (-)
 264 the production, the productivity and to improve the long-term well-being of the producer.

265 ▪ Elvag: the variable association between agriculture and breeding. It is a dummy with $n = 0$
 266 and 1. Where 0 corresponds to the dissociation between agriculture and breeding and 1
 267 association between the agriculture and breeding. 483 (68.80 %) separate the agriculture
 268 and breeding, against 219 (31.20 %) which associate the breeding with the agriculture; we
 269 postulate only the dissociation between the agriculture and the breeding (Elvag) cannot
 270 coat a good fertility of lands already to impoverish. This situation induces a decrease (-) of
 271 the production, the productivity and damage in the long term of the well-being.

272 ▪ Delay: the variable delay in payment of the agricultural income. It is a dummy with $v = 0$
 273 and 1. Where 0 corresponds to the delays in the agricultural income payment and 1
 274 absence of delay in the agricultural income payment. 437 (62.25 %) producers give
 275 evidence of the delays in agricultural income payment, against 265 (37.75 %) which reject
 276 the delays in agricultural income payment; we make the hypothesis that dates of payments
 277 of the agricultural income (Delay) are very long. This situation does not create motivation
 278 at the producers, it leads enormous disturbances during the various phases of the
 279 agricultural activities. Thus it reduces the agricultural and long-term yields degrade the
 280 well-being of the producer (-).

281 ▪ Assurace: the variable subscription to agricultural insurance. It is a dummy with $r = 0$ and
 282 1. Where 0 corresponds to no subscription to agricultural insurance and 1 for subscription
 283 to agricultural insurance. 460 (65.53 %) producers do not insure their productions and their
 284 goods against 242 (34.47 %) producers who insure their productions and the goods; We
 285 make the hypothesis that the agricultural producer is not interested in the agricultural
 286 insurance (Assurace) to guarantee his production and his harvest in case of the risks bound
 287 to the flood, the drought, the attack of the rodents, to the fires. This situation decreases the
 288 production and the yield (-) and in the long term degrades the well-being of the producer.

289 ▪ desteinsc: the variable debt of the producers toward credits structures and other micro-
 290 credits institutions . It is a dummy with $k = 0$ and 1. Where 0 corresponds to the debtor
 291 producers and 1 to the solvent producers. 461 (65.67 %) producers are debtor of the micro-
 292 credits structures and 241 (34.33 %) are solvent of these micro-credits structures; We
 293 make the hypothesis that the debts (desteinsc) of the producer with the financial
 294 institutions influence negatively and significantly (-) the production, the agricultural and
 295 long-term productivity on the producer well-being.

296 ▪ level: the variable producers' education and instruction level . It is a dummy with $l = 0$ and
 297 1. Where 0 indicate the producers having no education and instruction level and 1 those
 298 having the primary, secondary or upper level and other practical trainings levels in the
 299 agricultural domain. 493 (70.23 %) producers have no education and instruction level,
 300 against 209 (29.77 %) producers having an education and instruction level or practical
 301 trainings; We make the hypothesis that the educational level brought up by the producer
 302 has a positive and significant incidence (+) on the production, the productivity and the
 303 short and long-term well-being.

304 ▪ Lpricotb: the variable price of cotton expressed in CFA francs. It is quantitative and
 305 measures the purchase price of a kilogram (kg) of cotton quantity sold by the producers.
 306 This average price is 240 FCFA between 2013 and 2014 in the cotton zones; it influence
 307 positively and significantly (+) the production, the productivity and the short and long-
 308 term well-being.

309 ▪ Lprixmais: the variable price of maize expressed in CFA francs. It is quantitative and
 310 measures the purchase price of a kilogram (kg of maize) sold by producers in south Benin
 311 and in the bordering countries. This average price is 310 FCFA between 2013 and 2014 in
 312 the cotton zones; it influence positively and significantly (+) the production, the
 313 productivity and the short and long-term well-being.

314 ▪ Lprixgime: the variable price of yam expressed in CFA francs. It is quantitative and
 315 measures the purchase price of a kilogram (kg) of yam sold by producers. This average
 316 price is 1 2000 FCFA between 2013 and 2014 in the cotton zones; it influence positively

317 and significantly (+) the production, the productivity and the short and long-term well-being.
318

319

320 ▪ Lprixman: the variable price of the manioc expressed in CFA francs. It is quantitative and
321 measures the purchase price of a kilogram (kg) of the quantity of the manioc sold by
322 producers. This average price is 310 FCFA between 2013 and 2014 in the cotton zones; it
323 influence positively and significantly (+) the production, the productivity and the short and
324 long-term well-being.

325

326 ▪ Lprixgime: the variable price of the yam expressed in CFA francs. It is quantitative and
327 measures the purchase price of a kilogram (kg) of yam sold by the producers. This
328 average price is 12000 FCFA between 2013 and 2014 in the cotton zones; it influence
329 positively and significantly (+) the production, the productivity and the short and long-
330 term well-being.

331 ▪ Lprixman: the variable price of the manioc expressed in CFA francs. It is quantitative and
332 measures the purchase price of a kilogram (kg) of the quantity of the manioc sold by the
333 producers. This average price is 540 FCFA between 2013 and 2014 in the cotton zones; it
334 influence positively and significantly (+) the production, the productivity and the short
335 and long-term well-being.

336 ▪ Lprixmil: the variable price of the millet expressed in CFA francs. It is quantitative and
337 measures the purchase price of a kilogram (kg) of the quantity of the millet sold by the
338 producers. This average price is 640 FCFA between 2013 and 2014 in the cotton zones; it
339 influence positively and significantly (+) the production, the productivity and the short
340 and long-term well-being.

341 ▪ Lages: the variable age of the producers expressed in the years. It is quantitative, the
342 average age of the producer is of 35 years, the maximal age is of 64 years and the minimal
343 age is of 20 years; We make the hypothesis that the raised age (Lages) of the producer
344 reduces negatively and significantly (-) the production, the productivity and the short and
345 long-term well-being.

346 ▪ Lndepeducat: the variable producers' children educational expense expressed in CFA
347 francs. It is quantitative and this average expense is 35 000 FCFA between 2013 and 2014
348 in the cotton zones of Benin. Its biggest value is 54 600 FCFA and its minimal value is 15
349 000 FCFA; it impacts negatively and significantly the production, productivity and the
350 producer's well-being.

351 ▪ Lndépsanté: the variable dedicated to the producers' family health expense expressed in
352 CFA francs. It is quantitative and this average expense is 15 000 FCFA between 2013 and
353 2014 in the cotton zones of Benin. Its biggest value is 24 000 FCFA and its minimal value
354 is 7 000 FCFA. ; it impacts negatively and significantly the production, productivity
355 and the producer's well-being

356 ▪ Lndéplogmt: the variable producers' accommodation expense expressed in CFA francs. It
357 is quantitative and this average expense is 385 000 FCFA between 2013 and 2014 in the
358 cotton zones of Benin. Its biggest value is 554 600 FCFA and its minimal value is 90 000
359 FCFA. ; it impacts negatively and significantly the production, productivity and the
360 producer's well-being.

361 Several studies assessed the impact of various socio-economic, cultural, institutional and political
362 factor on the willingness of farmers to adopt new activities (Ayinde et al,2010; Akinola et al, 2009;
363 Feder and Zibermann,1985) . In most adoption studies, the dependent variable takes value between
364 0 and 1 and the models used were exponential functions while univariate and multivariate logit and
365 probit models including their modified forms have been used extensively to study the adoption
366 behavior of respondents. Economists were inspired by works in psychology (de Palma and Thisse
367 (1987) for a historic and epistemological presentation of the discreet choices models. Thurstone
368 (1927), in particular, had introduced the notion of chance to explain the variability of the answers
369 of the same individual to identical stimuli. By interpreting the level of stimulus as a level of utility,

Marschak (1960) then transposed the original idea of Thurstone into the economic framework, shown the RUM models (Random Utility Maximization)

The logit multinomial model was already used in agricultural economics to specify equations of parts of surfaces Wu and Segerson (1995). This theoretical frame allows to divert equations of parts of surfaces of logit multinomial shape.

Two approaches are considered: "the costs function approach and the discreet choices approach". In this paper, the discreet choices approach is used. This approach allows to define a multi-produced economic model which considers cultivated surface as an allocable fixed input and takes into account the cultural rotations effects (maize or / and cotton). A choice of agricultural production can turn out to be difficult to make or not, but whatever is its nature. The agricultural producer estimates the various possibilities which offer themselves to him by basing itself on criteria and choice which adorned him the most adequate. The choice's decision criteria can be quantifiable by yes or no, then there is an economic theory which proposes models allowing to predict the behavior of agricultural producers facing a choice of cultures. This economic theory is the one models of discreet choices by Ben-Akiva and Lerman (1985) and Ben-Akiva and Bierlaire (1999).

The mathematical modelling of this economic model bases on several fundamental hypotheses. Among this one, we consider that an individual (agricultural producer) chooses the alternative which provides him the biggest utility. Indeed, the multinomial model is the most used, because it is based on a hypothesis of independences of the unpredictable terms of the utility functions.

A multivariate logit model is used In this paper due to the several selections' modalities of our variables (Maddala (1985) et Long (1997)). The selection and the adoption of an agricultural activity method can be considered as the realization of a latent variable U_m^* (unobservable) indicating certain level of satisfaction or utility withdrawn from this selection and adoption and depending linearly of a set of variables vector of observable determinants X_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$). As this relation is not only determinist, an error term is introduced into the relation and its law of distribution defines the estimation model of the probability of the alternative occurrence. If J choice and adoption are possible, the followings is the probability of selection and adoption:

$$Prob(Y = m) = Prob(U_m^* > U_j^* \quad \forall \quad j \neq m) \quad \text{with} \quad U_m^* = X_i\beta + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

In this paper several possible alternatives are defined, without predefined or pre-established rank, it is advisable to estimate jointly the probability of every alternative compared with an alternative taken as reference. The required econometric model is then a logit or probit multinomial in accordance of distribution law of the error terms, Maddala (1985) and Long (1997). The multinomial model allows to estimate the probability of five (5) modalities with regard to a modality taken as reference. We suggest to estimate the probability of the modalities 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 in reference to the modality 2.

$$Prob_m = Prob(Y = m/X) = \frac{\exp(X\beta_{m/2})}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^6 \exp(X\beta_{j/2})} \quad j \neq 2 \quad \text{for } m = 1, 3, 4, 5 \text{ and } 6. \quad (2)$$

In relation with the probability of reference alternative 2

$$Prob_2 = Prob(Y = 2/X) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^6 \exp(X_i\beta_{j/2})} \quad j \neq 2$$

With $\sum_{m=1}^6 Prob_m = 1$, X_i a vector of K explanatory variables common to all alternatives of agricultural activities selection and adoption in the cotton zones of North Benin and $\beta_{m/2}$ the vector of parameters estimated for the modality m ($m = 1, 3, 4, 5, 6$) compared with the reference modality 2, with $\beta_{2/2} = 0$. As the estimated coefficients β of the logistic models is not directly interpretable, it is easy to present results directly like "odds" (noted Ω); or more exactly, like "odds ratio" (noted OR) that apply "exponent" to the coefficients estimated to observe how an increment unity (marginal effect) of the explanatory variable modifies the relationship of such probability. In the case of binary logistic model, the interpretation of the "OR" is relatively easy

Distribution between a membership of agricultural cooperative and selections and adoptions								
Cooperativemembership	0	58	72	48	45	22	54	299
	1	64	112	65	60	29	73	403
Total		122	184	113	105	51	127	702
Pearson c hi2(5) = 2.1338 Pr = 0.830								
Distribution between c ash credits access and agricultural activities selections and adoptions								
creditsaccess	0	73	103	66	70	24	88	424
	1	49	81	47	35	27	39	278
Total		122	184	113	105	51	127	702
Pearson c hi2(5) = 11.4246 Pr = 0.044								
Distribution between inputs credits access and agricultural activities selections and adoptions								
Inputs credits	0	66	94	60	65	28	65	378
	1	56	90	53	40	23	62	324
Total		122	184	113	105	51	127	702
Pearson c hi2(5) = 3.7219 Pr = 0.590								
Distribution between b reeding and agricultural activities selections and adoptions								
Breeding	0	85	131	75	77	36	79	483
	1	37	53	38	28	15	127	219
Total		122	184	113	105	51	127	702
Pearson c hi2(5) = 4.50 06 Pr = 0.008								
Distribution between p ayment delay and agricultural activities selections and adoptions								
Retard	0	79	105	63	32	85	43	437
	1	43	79	40	42	19	42	265
Total		122	184	113	105	51	127	702
Pearson chi2(5) = 4.1112 Pr = 0.534								
Distribution between producers debts and agricultural activities selections and adoptions								
Debts	0	39	62	38	30	18	54	241
	1	83	122	75	75	33	73	461
Total		122	184	113	105	51	127	702
Pearson chi2(5) = 5.70 34 Pr = 0.336								
Distribution between agricultural insurance and agricultural activities selections and adoptions								
Insurance	0	87	119	70	71	35	78	460
	1	35	65	43	34	16	49	242
Total		122	184	113	105	51	127	702
Pearson chi2(5) = 3.8776 Pr = 0.567								
Distribution between n instruction level and agricultural activities selections and adoptions								
Instruction	0	89	132	80	76	28	88	493
	1	33	52	33	29	23	39	209
Total		122	184	113	105	51	127	702
Pearson chi2(5) = 6.6665 Pr = 0.247								

450 Source: Results of Chi2 test; author; 2015

451 The Table 1 indicates a number of stylized facts in the cotton zones of Benin. Among these facts
452 we have: - the framing of the agricultural technical vulgarizations actions are not independently
453 distributed with various agricultural activities selections and adoptions; - the members of an
454 agricultural cooperative are independently distributed with various agricultural activities selections

and adoptions; - the access to the cash credits is not distributed independently with various agricultural activities selections and adoptions; - the access to the inputs credits is distributed independently with various agricultural activities selections and adoptions. The access to the inputs credits is not connected to various agricultural activities selections and adoptions; - the breeding activity is not independently distributed with various agricultural activities selections and adoptions. This result shows an association between the breeding and the certain agricultural activities selections and adoptions; - the delay in payment of the agricultural income persists about the agricultural activities selection and the adoption in the cotton basin of Benin; - the debts are not connected to the agricultural activities selection and adoption in the cotton basin of Benin; - the agricultural insurance is connected to agricultural activities selections and adoptions; - the academic levels are independently distributed with various agricultural activities selections and adoptions in the cotton basin of Benin.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of normality test on the multilogit model quantitative variables
Skewness / Kurtosis tests for Normality

Variables	obs	Pr(Skewness)	Pr(Kurtosis)	adj chi2(2)	Prob>chi2
ages	702	0.0000	0.0014	24.79	0.0000
depeducat	702	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
dép_santé	702	0.0000	0.0308	.	0.0000
ldéplogmt	702	0.0198	0.7391	5.53	0.0030
pricotb	702	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
primais	702	0.0039	0.0003	18.50	0.0001
prixagme	702	0.0000	0.0000	.	0.0000
prixigman	702	0.0000	0.0001	.	0.0000
prixmil	702	0.0000	0.0000	62.79	0.0000

Source: Author, 2015

The probability of Skewness (Pr (Skewness)) is close to 0 for the majority of variables .It is the same for the probability of Kurtosis (Pr (Kurtosis)) ; they are thus leptokurtiques (the presence of thick tails). The hypothesis of normality of variables during the period of our study is thus verified. Gaps returns to the norm further to a confrontation, of agricultural products, of expenses (health; education and accommodation) quantified essentially on the basis of the prices to producers. In this example, we conclude in the is not agree withion of H_0 for the majority of variables ($(P_r < 0.05)$). These agricultural prices characteristics return on the practical plan to the existence of fluctuations which are normal and without memory.

Table 3. Multilogit model estimations and marginal effects

Mltinomial logistic regression

LR chi2 (-2) = 2429.75

Log likelihood = 0

Number of obs = 702

Prob> chi2 = 0.005

Pseudo R2 = 0.045

agricultural Activities	Variables actagrc	RRR	probabil	Marginal effects after mlogit y = Pr (actagrc==2) (predict, p outcome (2)) = 0 .69248938		
				dy/dx	z	P> z
	actcton	8.47e+60	0.003	-0.999	0.601*	0.901
	actcmas	-.0000879	0.347	0.508	-0.003**	0.008
	actctomls	6.65e+09	0.676	-0.213	-0.412**	0.087
	actctomac	0.953015	0.67	-0.131	-0.023	0.000
	actctontrs	4.344638	0.543	-0.010	-0.003	0.065

		actctoigme	0.1014463	0.231	0.802	0.005	0.430
		assv	-0..010485	0.002**	0.146	0.516**	0.434
		mbrec	3.808169	0.421	-0.007	-0.004**	0.110
		aces	2.53798	0.001**	-0.701	-0.007**	0.240
		acesint	13.4025	0.043**	-0.022	-0.503*	0.700
		elvag	-0..031546	0.12	0.002	0.001**	0.21
					dy/dx	z	P> z
		actcton	1.08e+29	0.765	-0.623	0.052*	0.357
		actcmas	-0.004225	0.0034	0.328	-0.038**	0.0014
		actctomls	1.29e+10	0/0432	-0.365	-0.011**	0.042
		actctomac	3.48e+15	0.0045	-0.2178	-0.0024	0.231
		actctontrs	2.944351	0.0098	-0.0217	-0.0022	0.013
		actctoigme	0.976396	0.9854	0.428	0.012	0.235
		assv	-.026670	0.0321**	0.216	0.0016**	0.323
		mbrec	- 2.227513	0.0324	-0.0016	-0.0023**	0.114
		aces	-4.398148	0.0045**	-0.356	-0.0211**	0.246
		acesint	- 3.40569	0.123**	-0.0154	-0.0015*	0.367
		elvag	-0.21392	0.064	0.098	0.0223**	0.567
		retard	399.0246	0.002**	-2.0023	-0.0218**	0.0216
		asurace	-13.5119	0.34	-7.010	-0.018**	0.0254
		deteinsc	188.6191	0.349	0.012	-0.0525*	0.015
		nivea	-.0111923	0.0021	-2.3412	-0.3210*	0.123
		lpricotb	-2.90e+71	0.0065**	-5.245	-0.0018**	0.0267
		lprimais	2.96e-12	0.0045	0.560e-67	0.2671	0.125
		lprixigme	5.59e-09	0.468	0.0423	0.0333*	0.0432
		lprixman	-1.5739.23	0.0025**	-2.0342	-0.024**	0.016
		lprixsmil	0.634313	0.6754	-1.224	-2.0321*	0.011
		lnages	-0.10512.	0.004	-3.210	0.3786	0.0043
		lnages2	(omitted)	0.984	0.017	0.0125	0.029
		ldepeducat	1.00028	0.0230**	-2.3721	-0.0032**	0.023
		lndép_santé	2.858442	0.0067**	-3.013	-0.015**	0.029
		lndéplogmt	0.0028932	0.0086**	2.450	0.010**	0.1862
					Marginal effects a ftermlogit y = Pr (actagrc==2) (predict, p outcome (2)) =0 .6 9248938		
					dy/dx	z	P> z
		actcton	2.78e-15	0.0087	-0.123	0.042*	0.367
		actcmas	5.10e-28	0.0315	0.348	-0.026**	0.00187
		actctomls	-0..000468	0.8754	-0.565	-0.0091**	0.063
		actctomac	-0..000117	0.00432	-0.117	-0.0016	0.255
		actctontrs	1.04e+13	0.0005	-0.037	-0.0143	0.0156
		actctoigme	-0..416120	0.0984	0.442	0.0187	0.2410
		assv	-3.59e-27	0.6732	0.236	0.0119**	0.3542
		mbrec	-.0162228	0.0043	-0.011	-0.00343**	0.1153
		aces	-0..000031	0.008	-0.456	-0.01121**	0.2553
		acesint	-0..019012	0.021	-0.0124	-0.02145*	0.3490
		elvag	-.002129	0.985	0.095	0.0363**	0.5543

agricultural Activity Coton associated with other cultures	retard	12.15628	0.321	-2.0029	-0.0222**	0.02145
	asurace	-4.609887	0.0045	-7.0165	-0.0132**	0.02538
	deteinsc	2.79e-07	0.0032	0.0154	-0.0543*	0.0111
	nivea	-0..00004	0.9864	-2.3498	-0.32321*	0.1223
	lpri cotb	1.91e+80	0.023**	-5.2543	-0.00165**	0.02656
	lprimais	1.31e-45	0.6243	1.560e-77	0.26320	0.12234
	lprixigme	3.37e-56	0.5219	0.04543	0.03376*	0.04388
	lprixman	0.64093.32	0.8643	-2.03332	-0.0219**	0.01378
	lprixsmil	4.14e+32	0.6065	-1.2532	-2.03288*	0.01199
	lnages	-5.96e-06	0.0023	-3.2223	0.377420	0.00442
	lnages2	(omitted)	0.0125	0.01765	0.012345	0.02854
	ldepeducat	1.000469	0.045**	-2.35421	-0.00346**	0.022180
	Indép_santé	2.062556	0.021**	-3.01432	-0.01218**	0.02435
	Indéplogmt	1.72e-07	0.0321**	2.44430	0.0111**	0.18210
(*) dy/dx is for discrete change of dummy variable from 0 to 1						

Source: author, from the data of survey, 2013-2014. * 10 % level and ** 5 % level

3.4 Hausman independence test of non-relevant alternatives: in favor of a theoretical justification

The necessary and sufficient condition of using the multinomial logit is the respect centred on the hypothesis of independence of the non-relevant alternatives (IIA). The most used tests are those of Hausman and Mc Fadden (1984). The recent works on this test **is not agree with** the results of Hausman et al (1984). Long and Freese (2006), show that the Hausman and al (1984) IIA test does not still allow to reach a good decision. From the theoretical point of view, the selection of the agricultural activities in this article retain the independence hypothesis of Hausman and al (1984), as far as each of the agricultural activities seems good as agricultural activities strategy different from others.

3.5 Modalities Grouping

The multilogit model wonders on the relevance to distinguish alternatives if certain explanatory variables tend to the same direction. So, the Wald test on the multilogit equations regressors shows us that none of alternative does not tend to explain significantly the well specified activities.

Table 4. Test of Wald: modalities grouping

(1) [1]actcton = 0	(13) [1]actctomls = 0	(25) [1]actctontrs = 0
(2) [2].actcton = 0	14) [2].actctomls = 0	(26) [2].actctontrs = 0
(3) [3]actcton = 0	(15) [3]actctomls = 0	(27) [3]actctontrs = 0
(4) [4]actcton = 0	(16) [4]actctomls = 0	(28) [4]actctontrs = 0
(5) [5]actcton = 0	(17) [5]actctomls = 0	(29) [5]actctontrs = 0
(6) [6]actcton = 0	(18) [6]actctomls = 0	(30) [6]actctontrs = 0
(7) [1]actcmas = 0	(19) [1]actctomac = 0	(31) [1]actctoigme = 0
(8) [2].actcmas = 0	(20) [2].actctomac = 0	(32) [2].actctoigme = 0
(9) [3]actcmas = 0	(21) [3]actctomac = 0	(33) [3]actctoigme = 0
10) [4]actcmas = 0	(22) [4]actctomac = 0	(34) [4]actctoigme = 0

(11) [5]actcmas = 0	(23) [5]actctomac = 0	(35) [5]actctoigme = 0
(12) [6]actcmas = 0	(24) [6]actctomac = 0	(36) [6]actctoigme = 0

Source: author; from the data of survey, 2013-2014

3.6 Results and interpretations

Results of the estimated multilogit model are so directly presented as exponent coefficients in the Table 3. The interpretation of results of Table 3 allows to release some stylized facts on the determinants of selections and adoptions of agricultural activities in the cotton zones of Benin. On the other hand, treatments confirm relations of classic independents observed in the agro-economic literature between the age, the credits access, the academic level and the various agricultural activities. They underline the existing links between the agricultural technical agents of vulgarization and the selections and adoptions of various agricultural activities. Finally, they reveal the influence of the subscription in the agricultural insurance in the agricultural activities selection and the impacts of agricultural productions prices and finally the role of agricultural cooperative membership. Table 3 shows that variables such as the assistance of technical vulgarization (assv); the breeding (elvag) have positive and significant effects on the variation of probability of selection and adoption of the agricultural activities. The fact of benefiting technical vulgarization assistance (assv) increases the agricultural activity of 15 points of percentage compared with those of the agricultural rural households(0.02 points) who practiced the breeding (elvag). This result mean that the vulgarization agents' actions are perceptible in the cotton basin of Benin, the agricultural rural households benefit from a good membership of an agricultural cooperative (mbrec); the access to the cash credits (access) and the access to inputs credits (acesint) have positive effects.

3.6.1. Relation between age, credits access (inputs and cash), education level and agricultural activities selected and adopted.

The variable age (lnages) of the agricultural producer influences negatively and significantly the various agricultural activities. This result confirms the works of Hussain(1989); Pinheiro (1992); and Bravo-Ureta and Pinheiro (1997). The old producers have less opportunity of selection and adoption of efficient and profitable agricultural activities. They are little inclined to adopt the new techniques and modern inputs. The young producers have the opportunity to get a formal education.

They can be more skillful in the research for information and the practice of new techniques. The variable education level (nivea) influences negatively and significantly the various agricultural activities in the cotton zones of Benin. This result shows that in these zones the numbers of years of primary or secondary studies and the experience years of certain agricultural activities exercise are very low. Results thus confirm the works of Welch (1970); Feder et al (1985); Thirle et al (1995); and Bravo-Ureta et al (1994) indicate a positive relation between the technical efficiency and the educational level. Variables cash and inputs access credits (Acesint and access) influence negatively and significantly the other agricultural activities. These results rejected the works of Taylor et al (1986) and Bravo-Ureta and Evenson (1994); (Nuama, 2006; Hazarika and Alwang, 2003), (Nyemeck et al, 2004).

3.6.2. Relations between agricultural vulgarization agents and agricultural activities

Selections and adoptions.

The variable technical assistance of vulgarization (assv) influences negatively and significantly the agricultural activities. This result shows that producers whatever are their selections and adoptions do not benefit from elementary advice concerning the conduct of the various cultures and few agricultural investments are granted for selected and adopted agricultural activities. The result rejected the works of Ouédraogo (2004); Jensen P. et al. (2008); Jensen (2007) whose results show that the investments which are granted for creation of the varieties and for their vulgarization give a profitability rate of 81, 28 %. The technical assistance seems often not as the mean to help

producer but the way to integrate or to get a job in public office by letting rural world. These agents live in cities whereas the agricultural producers are in village. This behavior of the technical assistance agent justifies the negative impact of the agricultural activities selection and adoption.

3.6.3. Relations between agricultural insurance subscription and agricultural activities selections and adoptions.

Agricultural producers' needs are varied as their tasks and their lifestyle require adapted insurance protections to all kinds of exploitations. The variable agricultural insurance subscription has a negative influence on various agricultural activities in the cotton zones of Benin. The insurance structures propose indexed insurances to levels of pluviometry and concern the production of cereal, guarantee credits taken by producers, in particular campaign credits (inputs, etc.) but they are doubtful. We noticed that agricultural activities are done in the small areas inducing producer not get insurance services. These producers are often subject to flood or animals attacks. This perverse effect explain why insurance has negative impact on various agricultural activities (Barnett . and Mahul , 2007)

3.6.4. Relations between agricultural productions prices and agricultural activities selections and adoptions.

The multiplication of agricultural activities selections and adoptions in the cotton zones is positively impacted by agricultural price to producers. The selection and adoption of unique agricultural activity of cotton (actcton) and the other agricultural activities are influenced positively and significantly by the price of cotton to producers (lpricotb). This result is not surprising because "the gold" benefits from enormous government supports (price before agricultural campaign; organized sector; access to the cash and inputs credits). In opposite productions prices marketed to the south of Benin and bordering countries such the one of maize (Lprimais) and manioc (Lprixman) influence positively and significantly the unique agricultural activity of cotton. This last result shows that the cotton, the maize and thus the manioc are positioned as substitutable cultures according to the monetary report during the agricultural campaigns 2013-2014 in Benin. In the agricultural activity 2 (cotton associated with the millet), the price of the millet (Lprixmil) and the price of the cotton (Lpricotb) have opposite and significant sign (-0.140 and 2.62e+75) at the level of 5 %. This relation reveals according to the monetary income that cotton and millet constitute complementary products in the cotton zones of Benin. This result explains the incomes from the selling of cotton are partially reinvested in the production of the millet which constitutes a speculation of major foodstuff in this cotton zone. In the agricultural activity 3 (cotton associated with manioc), the price of manioc (Lprixman) and the price of cotton (Lpricotb) have negative and significant sign at level of 5 % (-1.5739.23 and 2.90e+71). The cotton and thus manioc are positioned as competitive cultures in the monetary report during the agricultural campaigns of 2013 and 2014. The negative relation between this agricultural activity and the cotton and manioc prices reveals the incomes from cotton and manioc marketing seem to be approximate. This result explains that in spite of manioc sector does not benefit from government supports, its production marketed especially toward the south of Benin and Nigeria leads important currencies for cotton producers. In the agricultural activity 4 (Associated cotton with others), the cotton price (Lpricotb) has a positive and significant coefficient at level of 5 % (1.91e+80). This positive relation shows the cotton price to producers stimulates this agricultural activity and the non-significativity of price factors of the other speculations indicates that the cotton dominate this agricultural activity and the other activities are very non-existent in selection and adoption of producers. In the agricultural activity 5 (cotton associated with yam) show that the cotton's price (Lpricotb) and the yam's price (Lprignam) have respectively negative and positive coefficients. Only the cotton price's coefficient is significant at level of 5% (5.08e+73). The yam's price (5.77e-40) has a positive coefficient but is not significant. This last result indicates the existence of socio-cultural behavior in the cotton zones where the production of the yam is centred on endogenous criteria and dedicated to a bracket of old producers. The presence of the opposite signs explain that the yam and the cotton are positioned as complementary cultures in the monetary report during the agricultural campaign of 2013 2014. Incomes from the yam's selling are partially reinvested in the cotton culture for the purchase of inputs for cotton; the extension of cotton cultivated surfaces; The debts solvency to micro-credits structures.

3.6.5. Relations between expenses in education, accommodation and health and agricultural activities selections and adoptions.

Considering cotton production as unique activity, coefficients of expenses variables in education ($L_{depeducat} = 1.000242$), in health ($L_{désanté} = 3.322704$) and in accommodation ($L_{ndéplogmet} = 0.0078028$) have negative and significant impacts. In other agricultural activities of cotton associated with other speculations, the impacts of expenses coefficients in education; in health and in accommodation are positive and significant at level of 5 %. This result indicates the weaknesses in cotton sector. The cotton thus assures the well-being of producers. The producers who only opt cotton are subject in enormous financial difficulties. This unique activity of cotton seems not to improve producers' well-being. The prevailing cottons-cereal association of cultures practices (($actctomls$ ($L_{depeducat} = 1.000285$, $L_{désanté} = 3.1869$, $L_{ndéplogmet} = 0.0024$); $actctomac$ ($L_{depeducat} = 1.000$, $L_{désanté} = 2.858$, $L_{ndéplogmet} = 0.0028$); ($actctonontrs$ ($L_{depeducat} = 1.0004$, $L_{désanté} = 2.06255$, $L_{ndéplogmet} = 1.72e-07$); $actctoigme$ ($L_{depeducat} = 1.0002$, $L_{désanté} = 126.167$, $L_{ndéplogmet} = 4.54e-09$)) infer positive response on agricultural activities. The immaterial investments such as health and education impact positively and significantly the cotton-cereal practice. These cereal seem to benefit thus from previous effects of cotton and infer additional income.

3.6.6. Relation between breeding, agricultural income payment delay and agricultural activities selections and adoptions.

The relation between agricultural activities and the breeding is not clearly established, because empirical results on this question are often shaded. Some conclude in dissociation between the agriculture and breeding. In Bolivia for example the system of production which prevails is the one based on the association of agriculture and cattle's breeding. The evolution of exploitations confirms the general trend in the region, Julio et al (2011); in opposite, another authors show the dissociation between breeding and agricultural activities. This dissociation often results from financial, technical and organizational means (veterinary treatment; diseases; the pasturage and conflicts between producers and breeder). FAO (2011) shows that the intensive systems of animal production produce high levels of residues of nitrogen and phosphor and the concentrated pouring of toxic materials. In this article, the breeding has a non-significant impact on the various agricultural activities ($cotcton$ (0.0315469); $actctomls$ (0.1144); $actctomac$ (0.21392); $actctontrs$ (0.02129); $actctoigme$ (0.0019)) in spite of the potentialities of cattle and goats available in cotton zones of Benin. This result shows a dissociation between the agriculture and the breeding. The latest is intended to a part of the population (Fulani). The delay in payment of the agricultural income is a factor which slows down the desire of producers for increasing productions and surfaces. The factor delay in payment of the cotton income (retard) affects negatively and significantly of 258.265 the unique agricultural activity of cotton ($actcton$). This result explains partially the state and administrative slowness of the structures in charge of the cotton marketing in Benin. Other agricultural activities such as the associations of cotton with food-producing (cotton and millet (298.592); cotton and manioc (399.0246); cotton and yam ($1.68e+10$) and cotton and the other non- selected cultures (12.15628)) have a positive and significant relation with the factor delay in payment of the agricultural income. This result confirms the income of the food-producing speculations is received before cotton income and allow to finance the food needs and the cotton production.

4 Conclusion

In this article, a particular accent is put on the agricultural activities selection and adoption in the cotton zones of Benin. The unique choice of cotton as agricultural activity presents no more considerable socioeconomic stakes for the cotton producers in Benin. The association of cotton production in a food crop brings more well-being in the acquisition and the satisfaction of the food goods, in health, educational and accommodation expenses. Results of the multilogit model estimation show that the prices of the food productions favor and stimulate the investment in the cotton sector. The cotton zones are not exempt of organizational, structural, institutional and political order difficulties. The regression of the systems of culture and the absence of adequate techniques increase the deficit of the food productions. In this situation, the training and the emergence of the young producers have to infer structural releasing, which, unfortunately, mortgage the green growth in Benin. On the whole, elasticities of the agricultural activities selections and adoptions compared with the significant variables are low. A significant

improvement of the agricultural associated activity practice (cotton and/ maize; yam; manioc; millet and other food-producing speculations) requires then actions on several factors in a simultaneous way. Considering the importance of the food-production weight in the auto consumption (more than 78 %) and in the marketing (less than 30 %) in the cotton zones of Benin, the promotion and the orientation of a diversified agricultural policy generating income and well-being must be undertaken. This could guarantee and assure the food security, expenses in children's education, health, and decent accommodation, as well as organization of food-producing selling. It is also necessary to more encourage the integration agriculture - breeding as effective improvement tool of adoption of the hitched culture and source of fertilizing able to substitute chemical fertilizers, as alternative sources of fertilization. An effort of food-producing agricultural seeds renewal by producing basic seeds for varieties in vulgarization is essential to perpetuate the performance of these improved varieties. There is good reason to promote the implementation of agricultural insurances structures to secure producers and their productions in case of the risks and agricultural uncertainties.

References

Adéoti R., O. Coulibaly et M. Tamò (2002) " International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Bulletin de la Recherche Agronomique du Bénin. Numéro 36 – Juin 2002 ; Facteurs affectant l'adoption des nouvelles technologies du niébé *Vigna unguiculata* en Afrique de l'Ouest

Akinola AA, Alene AD, Adeyemo R, Sanogo D, Olanrewaju, 2009. "Impact of Balanced Nutrient Management Systems Technologies in the Northern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. J. Food Agric. Environ.; Vol 7 n°2, p. 496-504

Ayinde OE, Adewumi MO, Olatunji GB, Babalola OA., 2010. "Determinants of Adoption of Downy Mildew Resistant Maize by Small-Scale Farmers in Kwara State, Nigeria. Global J. Sci. Frontier Res., , vol 10 n° 1, p 32-35.

Barnett J. and Mahul O. .2007. *Weather Index Insurance for Agriculture and Rural Areas in Lower-Income Countries* . American Journal of Agricultural Economics VL: 89 NO: 5

Ben-Akiva et Lerman (1985). Discrete choice Analysis, MIT Press

Ben-Akiva et Bierlaire(1999). Discrete choice methods and their applications to short term travel decisions, in R.Hall(ed); Handbook of transportation Science, Kluwer.

Bravo-Ureta B. E., et Pinheiro, A.E., 1997; " Technical, Economic and Allocative Efficiency in Peasant Farming : Evidence from the Dominican Republic " The Developing Economics, Vol. XXXV, n° 1, p.48-67.

Bravo-Ureta, B.E., Evenson, R.E., 1994, "Efficiency in Agricultural Production : The case of Pesant Farmers in Easten Paraguay", Agricultural Economics, n°10, p.27-37.

Bravo-Ureta B. E., et Pinheiro, A.E., 1993; "Efficiency Analysis of developing country agriculture : A review of the frontier function literature " Agricultural and Ressources Economics Reviews, Vol 22, n° 1, p. 89-101.

Caswell, M.Zilberman,D.(1985). The choice of irrigation technologies in California.American Journal of Agricultural Economics,67(2);224-234.

De Mc Fadden(1973). Conditional logit Analysis of qualitative choice Behavior, in ZarenbKa,P(ed), Frontiers in Econometrics, Academic Press

Easterlin Richard (2001): income and happiness: towards a unified Theory economic journal, 2001, vol 111, 465-484.

FAO (2011): Département de l'Agriculture et de la Protection des Consommateurs Protection.

Feder, G., Just, E. R., Zilberman, D., 1985 « Adoption of Agricultural Innovations in Developing Countries », A Survey, Economic Development and Cultural Change, Vol.33, n°2, p. 225-298.

706 **Ghirotti M. (1994):** Rapid appraisal, Benefiting from the experiences and perspectives of
707 Livestock breeders World Animal Review 77: 26-37.

708 **Hazarika G., Alwang J. (2003).** Access to credit, plot size and cost inefficiency among
709 smallholder tobacco cultivators in Malawi. *Agricultural Economics*, vol. 29, p. 99-109

710 **Haussman, J., et D. McFadden. 1984** « Specification test for the Multinomial Logit Model ».
711 *Econometrica*, 52.. P. 1219-1240

712 **Hirschman A. O. (1958),** «The strategy of economic development», New Haven, Yale University
713 Press.

714 **Hussain, S.S., 1989,** « Analysis of Economic Efficiency in Northern Pakistan : Estimation, Causes
715 and Policy Implications » Ph. D dissertation, University of Illinois.

716 **Jensen P. 2007.** Pratiques de vulgarisation des chercheurs du CNRS , Analyse statistique. Journal
717 of Science Communication.

718 **Jensen P., Croissant Y. et al., 2008.** Scientists connected with society are more active
719 academically *Science and Public Policy*.

720 **Julio et al ., 2011 .**HCI for Policy Display and Administration

721 **Lewis. A.. (1955),** «The theory of economic growth», Homewood, Hill. Richard D. Irwin.

722 **Long. J.S., (1997) :**Regression Models for Categorical and Limited Dependent Variables
723 (Advanced Quantitative Techniques in the Social Sciences). Sage Publications.

724 **Long J. C et Freese. J (2006):** Regression Models for Categorical Dependent Variables Using
725 State, Second Edition .STATA Press .

726 **MAEP (2013) :** Ministère de l'Agriculture, de l'Elevage et de la Pêche- Etat de mise en œuvre des
727 réformes et performances des structures déconcentrées du MAEP au regard de la promotion des
728 filières agricoles et de décentralisation

729 **MAEP (2014) :** Ministère de l'Agriculture, de l'Elevage et de la Pêche, Le Plan Stratégique de
730 Relance du Secteur Agricole (PSRSA)- La Politique Agricole Nationale (PAN)

731 **MAEP (2015) :**Ministère de l'Agriculture, de l'Elevage et de la Pêche Revue des efforts de
732 développement dans le secteur agricole- Département de l'Agriculture, l'Environnement et des
733 Ressources en Eau Politique Agricole Régionale (ECOWAP)

734 **Mellor J. W. (1966),** «The economics of agricultural development», Ithaca, New York: Cornell
735 University Press.

736 **Maddala, G.S., (1985) .**Limited –dependentand quantitative **variables** ineconometrics, Cambridge.

737 **Mc Fadden D.,1968.** The Revealed Preferences of a Government Bureaucracy. *Economic Growth*
738 *Project, Technical Report n° 17*, Berkeley.

739 **Nuama E., Yoli Bi Sani Martin (2008).***African Imperatives in the New World Trade Order, Case*
740 *Studies of Agriculture and Food Security.* Chapitre III: a study of the agricultural sector in Côte
741 d'Ivoire, AERC, p. 22- 52.

742 **Nianogo A J. 1994 :** la participative association des producteurs au processus de recherche
743 (Participatorydiagnosis : Producers associations in the researchprocess) Ougadougou INERA.

744 **Nyemeck B.J., Tonyè J., Wandji N., Nyambi G., Akoa M. (2004).**Factors affecting the technical
745 efficiency among smallholder farmers in a slash and burn agriculture zone of Cameroon. *Food*
746 *Policy, Elsevier*, vol. 24, p. 531-545

747 **Ntsama Etoundi, S.M. (2008),** *Analyse de l'impact de l'innovation sur la productivitéagricole :*
748 *cas du maïs dans la province du centre- Cameroun*, mémoire de DEA-PTCI, université de
749 Yaoundé 2- Sao, Cameroun

750 **Ouédraogo Souleymane (2004) :** Impact économique de la recherche et de la vulgarisation des
751 variétés, améliorées de maïs au Burkina Faso. Revue du CAMES - Série B, vol. 006 N° 1-2,2004.

- 752 **ONASA (2014)** : Office National D'appui à la Sécurité Alimentaire -Synthèse des problèmes et
753 risques identifiés comme conséquence de la hausse des prix
754 **Pinheiro, A., 1992**, An Econometric Analysis of Small Farms in the Dominican Republic, M.S.
755 Thesis, University of Connecticut, Storrs.
- 756 **Paturel, J. E., Servat, E., Kouame, B., Boyer, 1. F., Lubes, H. & Masson, 1. M. (1995)**
757 **Manifestations de la secheresse en Afrique de l'ouest non sahelienne. Cas de la Cote d'Ivoire,**
758 **du Togo et du Benin. Secheresse 6(1).**
759
- 760 **Sen. A., 1985** *Commodities and Capabilities*, Amsterdam, North Holland,.
761 **Taylor, T.G. , Shonk Wiler, J. S., 1986**, « Alternative Stochastic Specification of the Frontier
762 Production Function in the Analysis of Agricultural Credit Programs and Technical Efficiency »
763 *Journal of Development Economics*, 21, p. 149-160.
764 **Taylor, T.G. , Shonk Wiler, J. S., 1986**, « Alternative Stochastic Specification of the Frontier
765 Production Function in the Analysis of Agricultural Credit Programs and Technical Efficiency »
766 *Journal of Development Economics*, 21, p. 149-160.
- 767 **Thirle, C.D., Hadley, Townsend. R., 2003**, « Policy-Induced Innovation in Sub-Sahara Africa
768 Agriculture », *Development Policy Review*, 13, p.323-348.
- 769 **Thiombiano T., Soulama S. et Wetta C. 1992.** Déterminants de la consommation urbaine à
770 Ouagadougou. In CEDRES-Etudes et Centre Sahel, Ouagadougou.
- 771 **Thurstone L. (1927)** "A Law of Comparative Judgment." *Psychology Review*, 34:
772 273-286.
- 773 **Quesnay François**, *Œuvres économiques complètes et autres textes*, Paris, *Tableau économique*,
774 INED, volume I, p.426
- 775 **Quesnay**, article « Grains » dans l'*Encyclopédie*, in Quesnay, *Œuvres*, I, p.209
776 **Quesnay**, *Dialogue entre M. H et M. N*, in Quesnay, *Œuvres*, II, p.893
777 **Quesnay**, article « Hommes », projet d'article inédit pour l'*Encyclopédie*, cité par Weulersse, *Le*
778 *mouvement physiocratique*, I, p.481
- 779 **Water-Bayer A, Bayer W (1995).** Planification avec des pasteurs: MARP et au-delà, un compte
780 rendu de méthodes centrées sur l'Afrique. Deutsche Gesellschaft ; RFA GTZ ; 186 p..
- 781 **Wehrhahn, R. 2009.** *Introduction to Reinsurance* . Primer Series on Insurance Issue 2, April 2009.
782 The World Bank, Washington D.C.
- 783 **Welch. F., (1970)** « Education in Production », *Journal of Political Economy*, 78. P. 35-39.
- 784 **Wu,J.Sergerson,K.(1995).***The impact of policies and land characteristics an potential groundwater*
785 *pollution in wisconsin.American Journal of Agriculture*, 77(4), 1033-1047