



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_40025_A
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics Analysis of the rice's competitiveness: Empirical evidence of UNIRIZ_C on the market of GLAZOUE in Benin
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-Explain clearly the sampling method used for validity of the results. It is not clear whether it was convenience sampling or snow balling sampling -Follow the journal guidelines especially on referencing -Conclude based on your results. Irrigation in rice was not the issue of your study. Thus conclude focused on your results -Change "economics analysis....." in the title to economic analysis. -Check the correctness of the cost of hulling and cost of calibration formula	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-Address the issue of grammar in many parts of the manuscript -Adhere to the use of capital letters use policy in scientific writing. There are sections/sentences where capital letters have been used unnecessarily.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-Ensure consistence in the use of either PAM=Policy Analysis Matrix or APM =Analysis of Policy Matrix	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kangile, Rajabu Joseph
Department, University & Country	Chollima Agro-Scientific Research Centre, Tanzania