



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_40016_A
Title of the Manuscript:	Measurement of Production Efficiency: A Case of Indian Agricultural Production in Post Reforms Period
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Table 3. Table 4. The t-values should be presented. The selection and indication of the 5% level of significance of the coefficients is dubious without the t-values. Some coefficients with low standard errors were not indicated to be significant.</p> <p>References: Most references are too old and needs to be updated. Examples are: 1982; 1985; 1951; 1957; 1977; 1991.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Page 10. Line 204. Results and Discussion section should be separated. The present discussion is too shoddy and insufficient. It does not cover the results.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francis Anim
Department, University & Country	Department of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness, University of Venda, South Africa