



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_30203
Title of the Manuscript:	Empowerment Status of Farm Women through Training in Barisal Sadar Upazila
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The structural arrangement and title should be revised a little. Literature review part is short. However, care should be taken to make the an academic one while the author will make a complete literature review part separately.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Overall paper is ok. I suggest that title should be “Assessing Empowerment of Farm Women : The Case of Barisal Sadar Upazila”. The paper should be organized as Introduction, Literature Review, Methodology, Result and Discussion and finally Conclusion. The paper can be organized as suggested below: 1) In the introduction part the author should discuss about the research question/problem having 2 paragraph at best; 2) The author has defined empowerment and other issues in the introduction part. Instead of that I deem that this part should be discussed in the literature review part which should cover more details about empowerment and other research findings relating to it; 3) The methodology part should be organized in a more systematic way like research question or hypothesis, data sources, sample size, research method and analysis of data or	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	something like that. 4) In the result and discussion there must have more discussion on the issues and findings. 5) Finally conclusion part should answer the research question or hypothesis and its findings in such a way so that the paper can be a more scientific one.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Little more editing is needed in the text. I found some sentences problematic, so the author should revise those parts in order to provide clear understanding for the readers.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Md. Mizanur Rahman</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Bangladesh Academy for Rural Development, Bangladesh</i>