



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_30080
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Availability, Accessibility and Use of Media Channels for Sourcing Agricultural Information by Urban and Rural Farmers in Kogi State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The proposed for review manuscript considered a very important question related with the evaluation assessed of the availability, accessibility, and use of mass media among urban and rural farmers Nigeria. Presented are appropriate sources for information (mass media) for the needs of farmers. for this are study selected from both urban and rural communities making a total of 320 farmers. Abstract is clear, informative, brief and representative of the work and underlines the significance of the subject. Introduction is understandable, clear and comprehensive exposing the reader to the topic. Purpose and objectives are scientifically appropriate. In "Introduction" are cited more than 12 authors. Individual sections of the manuscript are adequately represented. The authors comment results of previous studies on the relevant subject. The findings are particularly valuable having in a mind importance of the crop studied.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	However, some minor errors were noted: In "Abstract": There are two sentences that begin the same way. For example "Data for this study were collected.." The sentences should probably be edited. In "Introduction": In some paragraphs have repetitive quote. For example Agbarevo and Obinne, (2010) and Anthony (2007)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	The following quotes are missing in the reference : - Fagbola (2003) - Blake (2004) Some of the citations from the list of references are missing in the main text. Here are some of them: Aina, L. O. (1995) - Fagbola (2008) - Blake (2003) The citations in the text and in the reference list must be written according author guideline. References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Organization into sections and subsections was well done and paper structure is clear and arranged according to journal style.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valentin Ivanov Kosev
Department, University & Country	Plant breeding and seed production, Institute of Forage Crops, Bulgaria