



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_30078
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of the Determinants of Mass Media Usage by Urban and Rural Farmers in Kogi State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1) You may cite 1 or 2 previous related studies in Kogi to support your claim that indeed, "Studies on media usage in Kogi appear scanty..." 2) You may include a map to show the location of the study area. 3) The sentence "This is in line with Agbamu..." seems to be incomplete. 4) Table1 may be re-formatted to compare and contrast the data between urban and rural areas & giving more specific data in % format. Like how many in % are males & females, married, etc. out of the 320 farmer-respondents?. What are the age range, income range used to be able to arrive at some conclusions? Also for household size and farm size? 5) Table2 seems to be too heavy with lots of information. You may break it into smaller tables (i.e. one table per variable comparing urban and rural vis a vis the different media usage.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Teresa Lirag</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Central Bicol State University of Agriculture, Philippines</i>