



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_29733
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Attitudes and Poverty Status Nexus: A Study of Fish Farmers in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper gives empirical analysis of the relationship between the risks attitude and poverty dimensions within the rural farmers in Ondo area of Ondo State of South West Nigeria. The respondents are less than 200 which is not enough to judge the state not to talk about the entire country. Therefore the outcome should not be generalised for the entire country with 36 states and Federal Capital Territory. I hereby suggest that the title of the paper should be reviewed to read "Risk Attitudes and Poverty Status Nexus: A Study of Fish Farmers in Ondo area of Southwest Nigeria". It is also advisable for the author to use the latest APA format of referencing consistently in the paper. The latter abhors numbering of authors in the reference list. There is dearth of Literature review in the paper. The author needs to review more literature that are relevant to the study. There should be more methodological review of literature to make the study more robust. The author should cite relevant author to justify the issue raised on page 2, last but one paragraph "There has been information . . . two together".	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The keywords should include Agriculture and Southwest Nigeria. Nigeria and Safety first model may be deleted. In the interpretation of the Ordered Probit model through the marginal effects, the author needs to cite	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	some similar studies that support or against the outcome of this study.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taofeek Aremu Kasali
Department, University & Country	Dept Of Business Administration And Management, Moshood Abiola Polytechnic, Nigeria