



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_29492
Title of the Manuscript:	Rural Development - Self Help Group Success Story
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It has been presented like a case study. There is a scope of adding latest information and the status of this group after 2013. As this group was working in state of Punjab, the status of this kind of group all over India can also be added in brief to make the study more strong.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	SWOT analysis can also be done. So that the author can suggest to overcome the weaknesses in functioning.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall a good topic to discuss as this type of self help required for the growth of the weaker section of the population.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anju Bharti
Department, University & Country	Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies, India