



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_29350
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Saving Behavior in Economic Growth of Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In the introduction there should have had more details, such as "Previous studies have already elaborated the vast model specification and determinants of saving", What are the studies? There should have references. Some references are written imperfect like "Such as (Khan et al.1992.....Sharif et al.2010) expressed their views..." "Such as Kriekhaus(2002,Mauricio... and Prema 2001 " (par.2,pag.3). The tables should be in the same format. In the 3rd reference, "Volume 2,No.2" should be edited as other references The recommendations should be more operable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The aim of this study is clear and the methods are suitable as well. It has sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses based on the data.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xia Luo
Department, University & Country	Anhui University,China