

Influence of farmers' socio-economic characteristics on adoption of Bambara nut production in Western Kenya

ABSTRACT

Aims: A survey was conducted to determine the effect of the socio-economic and institutional factors on farmers' adoption of bambara nut as food security crop

Study design: Purposive survey research designs were used to generate both qualitative and quantitative data.

Methodology: 384 respondents were interviewed in the study. Proportionate sampling technique was used to select 131, respondents from Kakamega North Sub- county, 127 from Butere and 94 from Matungu and 32 respondents from Mumias sub- counties based on population. Primary data was collected through structured questionnaire, interview schedules alongside focused group discussion.

Results: Findings indicated that that seven variables (63.6%) were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) and included: sub-county of residence, gender, farm size, on-farm income, labor, member of social group, marketing problem, access to extension service and respondents' access to credit. Four other factors (age, level of education marketing and credit) were not significant ($p > 0.05$). Chi-square test showed that the estimated model fitted the data reasonably well and indeed the variables were jointly significant in explaining adoption of Bambara production and utilization in Kakamega County.

Conclusion: In order for smallholder sugarcane farmers to benefit from this immense potential there is need by County governments and other relevant bodies to assist in formulating policies and implementing research programmes towards promotion and commercialisation of this crop among smallholder farmers. This would lead to increased small scale sugar cane farmer's growing of bambara nut and thus food insecurity at household level among resource poor farmers in Kakamega County, Kenya.

Keywords: Adoption; Bambara nut; Food insecurity; smallholder farmers; Underutilized crop

1. INTRODUCTION

Bambara nut *Vignasubterranea* (L.) is regarded as minor crop is characterized by a small commercial value of production and trade compared to the major crops despite its potential for contributing to improved incomes, food security and nutrition, and for combating micronutrient deficiencies. Bambara has a number of agronomic advantages and when cooked it contains sufficient quantities of protein, carbohydrate and fat [1], [2] [3]. Indeed. When adopted, the crop has the potential to act as an alternative sources of food and thus reduce risk the of food insecurity by mitigating against effects of hunger through sustainable food production, alleviating food security and poverty and increasing small scale farmers' incomes particularly in areas with little rainfall [4] [3]. Currently, the level of cultivation of Bambara nut outside African continent, let alone Kenya is negligible. The leading producers of Bambara nut are: Nigeria, Niger, Ghana, Zimbabwe, Botswana, South Africa, Swaziland and Cote d'voire [5]. Its common name actually appears to be derived from the tribe of Bambara of Mali [5]. In Kenya, Bambara nut is considered an orphaned crop. It is a popular traditional food crop among the Luhya communities in Kakamega, Bungoma and Busia Counties, the Giriama and Kamba communities at the Coast and to some extent the Luo community in Nyanza Siaya, Homabay and Migori Counties [6].

Bambara nut production in Kenya, like other food crops has been declining for the last three decades. More scientific research on adoption of improved agricultural production practices for these staple food crops and other nutritious and drought- tolerant crops like Bambara nut and their conservation effort is needed. Therefore in the present study, factors influencing farmers adoption of new innovation have been utilized to investigate their association of socio-economic and adoption of Bambara nut production as a food security crop in Kakamega county. This can help achieve sustainable agricultural development and reduce problems of food insecurity, malnutrition, hunger and poverty as aligned with the millennium development goal number one [7]. Currently, the level of cultivation of Bambara nut outside African continent, let alone Kenya is negligible. The leading producers of Bambara nut are: Nigeria, Niger, Ghana, Zimbabwe, Botswana, South Africa, Swaziland and Cote d'voire[5]. In Kenya, Bambara nut is considered an orphaned crop. It is a popular traditional food crop among the Luhya communities in Kakamega, Bungoma and Busia Counties, the Giriama and Kamba communities at the Coast and to some extent the Luo community in Nyanza Siaya, Homabay and Migori Counties [6]. Bambara nut production in Kenya, like other food crops has been declining for the last three decades. More scientific research on adoption of improved agricultural production practices for these staple food crops and other nutritious and drought- tolerant crops like Bambara nut and their conservation effort is needed. Therefore in the present study, factors influencing farmers adoption of new innovation have been utilized to investigate their association of socio-economic and adoption of Bambara nut production as a food security crop in Kakamega county. This can help achieve sustainable agricultural development and reduce problems of food insecurity, malnutrition, hunger and poverty as aligned with the millennium development goal number one [7].

Adoption studies are important in that they tend to assess impacts of agricultural research, aid to priority setting for research and also provide information for policy reform [8] [9]. Several adoption studies have been conducted in Kenya to explain determinants of adoption. Similar efforts have been expanded [10]. According to [11] adoption is defined as the degree to which a new technology is used on long run equilibrium when farmers have complete information about the technology and it's potential. On the other hand, aggregate adoption is defined as the process of diffusion of a new technology within a given geographical region. [12], pointed out that factors affecting adoption differ across countries and are location specific thus calling for studies that are location specific. Most adoption studies in Kenya have considered fertilizer and hybrid seed in maize and with little done on crops such as; coffee, tea, sugar and horticulture, which, are key drivers of the Kenyan economy. Hence, understanding of both processes is important for extension workers, policy makers and researchers involved as this would be replicated in the promotion of indigenous underutilized crop species like Bambara nut included as the crop that has the potential of ensuring food security to vulnerable communities.

According to [11], adoption of innovations is a function of several factors; it is a sequential process of decision making that involves the following five stages: awareness, interest, evaluation, trial and adoption. Thus it is a full-scale acceptance and integration of the technology. During the adoption process, an innovation is evaluated using six criteria relating to innovation characteristics namely: relative cost, trialability, compatibility adaptability, observable ability and complexity. These aspects are considered by the farmer in turn to examine the factors determining the probable adoption of new technological innovations [13]. Despite these wealth of information available on adoption studies, very little if any, can be attributed to disaster risk reduction by indigenous crops that is Bambara nut specific. Only, very little work on breeding and adaption of Bambara nut has been done. Farmers in some areas of Western Kenya, Kakamega County have continued to have problems of malnutrition and food insecurity even during rainy season, when this would have been sufficient for drought-tolerant crops like Bambara nut. This has sometimes led farmers' quest for humanitarian assistance in terms of food aids and other food coping strategies. However, there is little adoption studies on under-utilized crop species such as Bambara nut, that address institutional factors as relates their adoption in ensuring disaster risk reduction food security to vulnerable communities. Thus farmers' access to institutional information about underutilized crop species that relates to: physical market, credit availability, improved farming practices and crop varieties, climate change and potential adaptive strategies as a food security crop during times of hunger is lacking, and hence, the desire for this study to fill the missing link.

There is need for Kenya to promote the production and utilization of orphan crops to solve problem of food security. Most orphan crops are resilient to environmental extremes and harsh weather conditions. Thus, they are the very basis of their farming systems and constitute an integral part of their livelihoods and food security. Similarly, orphan crops require low inputs are affordable and sustainable to produce by the rural poor farmers are an important source of income and empowerment, particularly for the women who are the main growers of these crops [14]. For purposes of this study, the focus was on adoption "underutilized" crop species which have great prospective for food production and development, but whose potential is not adequately appreciated and fully exploited with Bambara as the case. Orphaned crops represent an enormous wealth of agro-biodiversity. **second objective** of this study was to evaluate the impact of socio-economic and institutional that determine of smallholder farmers' adoption of Bambara nut production as a food security crop and establish if there were any associations between the environment in the farmers operates and their adoption of Bambara nut production as a food security crop in Kakamega County, Kenya.

2. METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in Kakamega county which is located in Western Kenya lying on longitude 34° 45' 0" East and latitude 0° 17' 0"N of the equator. The county lies within altitude 1,250m-2,000m above sea level, with an average annual rainfall of 1250-1750mm per annum. The average temperature in the County ranges from a minimum of 11°C to 30°C a maximum with an average of 21°C.

The target population in this study comprised of small-scale **smallholder sugarcane farmer**. Purposive survey research designs were used to generate both qualitative and quantitative data. Purposive sampling technique was used to select the four sugar cane growing sub-counties with high crop production. Primary data was collected through structured questionnaire, interview schedules alongside focused group discussion in some cases. Lists of accessible smallholder sugar cane farmers was generated by the researcher, with assistance of agriculture extension officers at each of the four sugar growing sub- counties. Then proportionate sampling technique was used to select 131, respondents from Kakamega North Sub- county, 127 from Butere and 94 from Matungu and 32 respondents from Mumias sub- counties based on population.

The data was subjected to an analysis using SPSS version 16. Multiple linear regression model was used to express adoption (dependent variable) in terms of the independent variables which included sub-county, age, gender, farm size, level of education, group membership, on-farm income, labour, access to credit, market and extension services[15] [16] [17]. **The Multiple Linear** regression is;

$$Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + b_4X_4 + b_5X_5 + \dots + b_{11}X_{11} + \text{Error} \dots \dots \dots \text{equation 1}$$

Where;

Y = Adoption ; a = constant ; $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, \dots, b_{11}$ = Beta coefficients representing the relative impact on the predictor variable for each of the independent variables, X_1 = sub-county; X_2 = gender; X_3 = education; X_4 = age; X_5 = landsize; X_6 = income; X_7 = labour; X_8 = social group; X_9 = market; X_{10} = extension service and X_{11} = credit.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The number of respondents in Kakamega North Sub- county was the highest 131 (34.0%). Butere sub-county had 127 (33.0%) respondents. But Matungu and Mumias Sub-counties had the least proportion of respondents at 25.0% and 8.0%. This means Kakamega North has the highest proportion of Bambara cultivating sugarcane farmers. The majority of respondents (54.5 %) were females while male proportion was 45%. This may imply that bambara nut farming activities are mainly conducted by females. The proportion of Bambara nut adopters to non-adopters was 74.5% and 25.5% respectively. The relatively high proportion of crop adopters amongst sugarcane farmers' maybe a coping strategy to ensure food supply given the long maturation period of cane crops.

There as there were great variations in ages of the respondents across the four districts (Table 1). 64% of the respondents in all the districts were in the age set of 31-50 years. Chi-square test between farmers'

age and adoption of the Bambara nut revealed that there was no significant variation ($\chi^2_{3,0.05} = 5.982$). The findings simply that age may not be a determinant of bambara adoption. Given that the crop is a neglected food crop. These findings were similar to [18] [19] who indicated that the expected effects of age was an empirical question and that there was no agreement in the previous studies on adoption.

With regard to education, over 94.3% of the study population had a minimum of basic (primary) education while 5.3% did not possess any basic education. Thus most of the respondents were literate and were in a position to interpret bambara nut extension related messages. Chi-square test to establish association between farmer's level of education and adoption of the Bambara nut as a food security crop indicated that there was no significant difference ($\chi^2_{4,0.05} = 7.807$). This implied that there was no significant association between level of education and adoption of Bambara nut production as a food security crop. The findings can be explained by the fact that generally the level of schooling of the respondents in the study area was above average.

A great proportion of respondents 83.3% were low income earners (less than Kshs100, 000 pa) and that 74.5% of farmers had adopted bambara nut production as a food security crop (Table 1). Chi-square test revealed there was a highly significant relationship (15.474 against a table value of 7.841) between adoption of the bambara nut production as a food security and gross annual income from on-farm activities in Kakamega County. Low income implies reduced capability to procure farm input. Previous studies indicate that household income from on-farm activities could be used as proxy to working capital as it determines the available capital investment in the adoption of practices [20]. Indeed this is one-way household poverty levels could be assessed [6]. Focused group discussions and available secondary data information [21] had established that low capital base of farmers in the study districts had not hampered farmers' ability to adopt bambara nut production as a food security crop. This can be explained by the fact that bambara is not a capital intensive crop. This justifies the fact that Bambara nut crop is considered a minor crop and mostly grown by the rural poor who more often than have not little or no capital to invest in crop production enterprises.

A great proportion of farmers held less than 2 acres of land (Table 1). Chi square test conducted between farm size and adoption of the Bambara nut production indicated no significant variation ($\chi^2_{3,0.05} = 5.387$) against a table value of 9.4848. Thus farm size does not define bambara nut farming and as such have no negative effect on farmers' ability to adopt the crop. These findings disagree with those of [22] [23] who indicated that farm size affects crop adoption. Similarly, the results disagreed with those reported by [24] [25], where large scale farmers are more inclined to adopt new practices than small scale farmers. The difference can be explained as unlike bambara that is an underutilized crop species, the other crops studied were mainstream food crops.

With regard to accessibility to credit facilities, 24 % of the respondents had received credit while over 70% had not. A Chi Square test showed that there was a highly significant variation ($\chi^2_{1,0.05} = 12.414$; tabulated value of 3.8147). Thus, there was significant association between accessibility to credit and adoption of Bambara nut production practices. 47.0% of respondents in the study area had legal ownership of their parcels of land thus were in possession of title deeds which often is used as collateral in obtaining credit from loaning institutions but, even then, they were not taking the initiative to apply for credit. Thus credit takes cognizance of farmers' access to alternative sources of credit to finance the expenses relating to adoption of new technological innovations [26]. Access boosts farmers' readiness to adopt technological innovations. Thus, the farmers who had applied for credit facilities, none of them had used these credit facilities to improve Bambara nut production. This explained why there was a negative significant relationship of Bambara nut and credit facilities among the respondents in the study area.

193 **Table 1: Adoption of bambara nut cultivation in relation to level of education in Kakamega County,**
194 **Kenya**

Characteristic		Adopters Bambara Nut		Non-Adopters Bambara Nut		Total	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Level of Education	Secondary	123	32	49	12.8	172	44.8
	Primary	99	25.8	27	7.0	126	32.8
	Tertiary	47	12.2	10	2.6	57	14.8
	University	6	1.6	5	1.3	11	2.9
	None	11	2.9	7	1.8	18	4.7
Age (years)	20-30	41	10.7	22	5.7	63	16.4
	31-40	100	26.0	39	10.2	139	36.2
	41-50	87	22.7	22	5.7	109	28.4
	>51.0	58	15.1	15	3.9	73	19.0
Farm income pa (Ksh.)	< 50,000	137	35.7	66	17.2	203	52.9
	50,001 - 100,000	92	24.0	25	6.5	117	30.5
	100,001 - 150,000	44	11.5	3	0.80	47	12.1
	>150,001	13	3.4	4	1.0	17	4.5
Farm size (Acres)	< 2.0	154	40.1	55	14.3	209	54.5
	2.1-5.0	110	28.6	30	7.8	140	36.5
	5.1-10	17	4.4	12	3.1	29	7.5
	>10.1	5	1.3	1	.30	6	1.5
Availability of credit facilities	Yes	71	18.5	21	5.4	92	24
	No	215	56.0	77	20.1	292	76
Difficulty in marketing	Yes	136	35.4	49	12.25	185	48.2
	No	150	39.1	49	12.25	199	51.8
Extension	Yes	136	35.4	33	8.6	169	44
	No	150	39.1	65	16.9	215	56
Totals		286	74.5	98	25.5	384	100

195
196 80.0 % of respondents had membership to different social groups while only 19.8 % were not (table 2).
197 Chi Square test conducted association between farmers' membership in social groups and adoption of
198 the Bambara nut production indicated that there was a highly significant variation ($\chi^2_{3,0.01} = 18.546$; table
199 value of 7.841). That there was a highly significant relationship can be explained by the fact that most
200 respondents were members of social groups for various benefits including; economic gains (60%), social
201 gains (13.0%) and information gathering (7.8%). Focused group discussions established that a farmer's
202 membership in social groups' leads to better access to technical agricultural information. It is worth noting
203 that, a farmer's membership in social groups significantly influenced farmers' ability to adopt Bambara
204 nut. However, agriculture extension officers in the study areas reported that farmer groups were more
205 accessible than individual farmers. These findings concur with those of [27] [28] [29] who established that
206 women belonging to social organizations adopted more soil management practices.
207

208 **Table 2: Adoption of bambara nut production in relation to social groups, Kakamega County,**
209 **Kenya**

Kenya						
Membership in Social Groups						
DISTRICT	YES		NO		TOTAL	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Kakamega North	104	27.1	27	7	131	34
Mumias	29	7.6	3	8	32	8.3
Bitere	113	29.4	14	3.6	127	33.1

Matungu	62	16.1	32	8.3	94	24.5
TOTAL	308	80.2	76	19.8	384	100

Regression analysis indicated that four factors; level of education, land size, marketing and extension service delivery were not statistically significant in determining farmers' adoption of bambara nut in Kakamega County. These factors did not seem to significantly sustain small holder farmers' decision to adopt Bambara groundnut growing and utilization as a food security crop at household level crop and hence need not be considered when designing agricultural intervention programs for increased adoption of growing Bambara groundnut as a food security crop at household level. For instance as see from Table 1, level of education and land size had their beta coefficients of 0.072 and 0.055 respectively, while extension delivery and marketing had their beta coefficients as 0.008 and 0.027 respectively. These results can be explained by that fact there were no great variations in respondents' level of education and land sizes within and between and within the sub-counties of farmers growing sugar cane under study. The volume of bambara nut grown at farm level is too little to warrant proper marketing and there had been no provision of any bambara nut related extension service by any institution either. However, studies by various researchers have found a positive relationship between farm size and technological adoption [30]. Thus operators of large farms are likely to spend more on land-improving practices. In many cases, large farm size is associated with increased availability of capital, which makes investment in innovations more feasible. According to [31], technology complexity can have a negative effect on adoption and this could only be dealt with through education, indeed, the case of Bambara nut.

Findings indicated that seven other factors (respondents' age, sub-county residence, gender, on-farm income, labor availability, accessibility to credit and membership to social groups) were statistically significant and affected crop adoption. The coefficient of respondent's sub-county was -0.0138 while the t-value was -2.711, and was therefore highly negatively significant ($p < 0.01$). This implies that any change in county study district resulted in 13.8% decreases in level of adoption of Bambara nut production as a food security crop. Non-Bambara producing areas in Kakamega County are either main producers of maize and tea [32] [33]. This indicates that priorities on adoption of Bambara nut production vary from district to district with negative direction among districts. The coefficient of gender was 0.134 while the t-value was 2.578. Thus, all other factors held constant gender affects and contributes up to 13.4 % adoption of Bambara nut production as a food security crop. These findings, where gender and adoption were significant, agreed with those found by [34] [35] [36] [20]. From the foregoing, gender aspects need to be considered when designing agricultural intervention practices for increased adoption of production and utilization of Bambara nut production. [20] reported non-significant difference effect of gender on factors influencing improved maize technology adoption in Ghana. The positive significant relationship between respondents' gender and adoption of Bambara nut production as a food security crop could be attributed to the underutilized and neglected nature of the crop. The coefficient of age was -0.115 while the t-value was -2.191, thus, a significant inverse relationship between respondents' age and adoption of Bambara nut was found. The results showed that a unit increase in age resulted in 11.5% reduction in production and utilization of Bambara nut as a food security crop. Thus as farmers become older, they are less likely able to adopt as they become less energetic and risk averse. These findings agree with studies on adoption of land conservation practices in Niger by [37] and Hybrid Cocoa in Ghana by [38], where adoption was negatively related to age. However the findings disagree with those recorded by [18] where age was found to positively influence adoption of sorghum in Burkina Faso. The relationship between respondents' level of income and adoption of Bambara nut production was negatively significant. The coefficient and t-value of annual farm income were -0.133 and -2.409, respectively. The inverse relationship implies that a unit increase in annual farm income resulted to 13.0% decrease in decision to adopt Bambara nut. This can be explained by the fact that any increase in household levels of income lessens level of poverty and probably leads to less consumption of Bambara nut which is considered a poor man's crop. Thus as household income increases household eating habits also change away from underutilized crops. These results disagree with the findings of [26] who found income to positively influence adoption of mulching technology in Yam in Osun State, Nigeria. The coefficient of labor was 0.213 while the t-value was 4.346. The positive significant relationship implies that unit increase in labor availability increases probability of adoption of Bambara a margin of 21%. Labor availability is one of the single most important factors that affect farmers' decisions regarding adoption of any improved farm

practices. Non-availability of labor at peak periods can result to use of women and children as the main source of family labour[39] [27] [30]. The coefficient and t-value of farmers' membership to social organization were 0.096 and 1.830. This implies that a unit increase in farmers' membership to social organizations increases adoption probability of Bambara nut production by almost 9.6%. These results are similar to those recorded by [19] who found out that the membership in social groups provided the much social needs of the farmers can improve diffusion and facilitated collective approach solutions to problems. Thus, membership in social groups such as co-operative societies had been found to enhance the interaction and cross-fertilization of ideas among farmers. Farmers who are non-members of associations are expected to have lower probabilities of adoption and lower level of use of crop adoption practices. Similarly results were observed by [40]. The coefficient of credit access was 0.106 while the t-value was 0.990. The positively significant relationship implied that credit availability increases the probability of adoption of Bambara nut 10.6%. [41] reported that availability of credit had a positively influence on adoption of poultry technology by relaxing the binding capital constraints that farmers face during initial investments or helped to finance the variable costs associated with production of improved poultry breeds. Contrary,[26] reported that credit positively influenced adoption and the uptake of new mulching practices of Yam production in Nigeria.

Table 3: Determinants of smallholder sugarcane farmers' adoption of bambara groundnut growing as a food security crop at household level in Kakamega County, Kenya

Variable	Coefficients			
	(beta)	S.E	t-value	p-value
Intercept	.766		4.336	.000
Sub-county	-0.138	0.018	-2.711**	.007
Gender of farmer	0.134	0.045	2.578*	.010
Education level	0.072	0.022	1.463	.144
Farmer's age	-0.115	0.022	-2.191*	.029
Land size	0.055	0.032	1.026	.306
On-farm income	-0.133	0.018	-2.409*	.016
Labour availability	0.213	0.054	4.346**	.000
Social group	0.096	0.016	1.830**	.0068
Marketing problems	-0.008	0.045	-.151	.880
Extension service	0.027	0.046	0.487	.626
Credit facilities	0.106	0.056	1.990*	.047
Chi-square= 50.80				

If smallholder farmers are to benefit from the immense potential bambara nut crop has, there is need for realistic solutions to be implemented to address aforementioned constraints. The approaches and strategies need be through participatory decision-making processes involving farmers and the Ministry of Agriculture Livestock and Fisheries in the country so as to initiate relevant programs and deliberate policies that can address promotion of Bambara nut crop. Promotion and increased utilization of bambara nut amongst small holder farmers in Western Kenya can achieved through building on factors that positively increase adoption of the crop and reducing or removing factors that negatively influence adoption.

4. CONCLUSION

Sub-county of residence, farm income and age were factors that negatively influenced smallholder sugar cane farmers' adoption of bambara nut growing as a crop that could reduce the disaster risk of food insecurity and nutrition at household level in the study. However, labour availability, membership to social group, gender, and respondents' access to credit were seemed to positively influence adoption of bambara nut growing as a crop that could reduce the disaster risk of food insecurity at household level. Four factors namely: land size, level of education, marketing and provision of extension service did not seem to have any significant influence smallholder sugar cane farmers' adoption of bambara nut growing as a crop that can reduce disaster risk of food insecurity at household level in Kakamega County. There is need for Ministry of Agriculture Livestock and Fisheries in Kakamega County and indeed central government to develop programs and deliberate policies that can will maintain and improve the

agricultural extension services to address the food and nutritional security needs of the rural poor who have nurtured and continue to grow Bambara nut as food security crop.

REFERENCES

- [1] PoulterNH, Caygill JC. Vegetable milk processing and rehydration characteristics of Bambara groundnut. *Journal of Science and Agriculture*. 1980; 31(11): 1158-1163
- [2] Baudoin JP, Mergeai G. Bambara Groundnut *Vigna subterranean (L.) Verd.* In:Raemaekers, R.H. Crop Production in Tropical Africa. 2001; Pp. 313-317.
- [3] Berchie JK.Bambara groundnut - the seed that satisfies: Crop Research Institute, Kumasi, Ghana. 2009
- [4] Chivenge P, Tafadzwanashe M, Modi AT, Mafongoya P. The potential role of neglected and underutilised crop species as future crops under water scarce conditions in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*.2015;12, 5685-5711
- [5] Goli AE,Begemann F. Germplasm diversity in Bambara groundnuts and prospects for crop improvement. Pp. 195-202 *in* Crop Genetic Resources of Africa Vol. 2. (N.Q. Ng, P. Perrino, Attere F,Zedan H,eds.). IITA, IBPGR, UNEP, CNR. 2001
- [6] Andika DO, Abukutsa-Onyango MO, Onyango JC, Stutzel H.(2010). Roots spatial distribution and growth in Bambara groundnuts (*Vigna Subterranea*) and Nerica Rice (*Oryza Sativa*) intercrop system.ARPN Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science. 2010; 5(2): Pp. 41-50
- [7] G.O.K. Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development. Western Province Annual Report. 2008.
- [8] Korir MK, Serem AK, Sulo TK, Kipsat MJ. A Stochastic frontier analysis of Bambara groundnuts growing in Western Kenya. Congress Proceedings of 18th International Farm Management Congress Methven, Canterbury, New Zealand. 2011
- [9] Olwande J, Sikei G, Mathenge M. Agricultural technology adoption: A panel analysis of smallholder farmers' fertilizer use in Kenya contributed paper for presentation at the African Economic Research Consortium Conference on Agriculture for Development, May 28th and 29th, 2010 Mombasa, Kenya.
- [10] Feder G, Just RE, Zilberman D. Adoption of agricultural innovations in developing countries: A survey. World Bank Staff Working Papers No 542.Washington, DC: World Bank. 1985.
- [11] Ricker-Gilbert J, Norton JGW, Alwang J,Miah M, Feder G. Cost- effectiveness, evaluation of integrated pest management (IPM) extension methods: An Example from Bangladesh. In: Applied Economics Perspectives and Policy.2008; 30 (2):252-269.
- [12] Jayne TS, Kibaara B,Nyoro JK. Trends and ppatterns in fertilizer use by smallholder farmers inKenya, 1997-2007. Tegemeo Working Paper No.32: Tegemeo Institute, Egerton University. Nairobi. 2008.
- [13] Reeds MS. Review of participatory technology development for agro forestry Extension: an innovation-decision- approach. Sustainable Research Institute, University of Leeds U.K African. *Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2007; 2(8): 334-341
- [14] Naluwairo R. Investing in Orphan Crops to Improve Food and Livelihood Security of Uganda's Rural Poor: Policy Gaps, Opportunities. 2011
- [15] Rogers EM. Diffusion of Innovations (Fourth Edition). New York: Free Press. 2003.
- [16] Rubin DB. Estimating causal effects of treatments in randomized and nonrandomized Studies.*Journal of Educational Psychology*. 1974; 66(5): 688-701.
- [17] Ravallion M. Evaluating Anti-Poverty Programs. In: T. Paul Schultz & John A. Strauss (ed.), *Handbook of Development Economics*, Elsevier. 2008; Edition 1 (4)No. 5, chapter 59: pp 3787-3846.
- [18] Adesina AA,Zinnah MM. Technology Characteristics farmers perception and Adoption decision. Atobit Model Aplied in Serra Leone . *Journal of Agric Econ*.1993;9 297-311.
- [19] Bamire AS, Fabiya YL. Adoption pattern of fertilizer technology among farmers in the ecological zones of South-western Nigeria: A Tobit. *Australian journal of Agricultural Research*. 2002; 53, 901-910
- [20] Doss CR. Analyzing Technology Adoption Using Micro-studies: Limitations Challenges and Opportunities for Improvement. *Journal Agricultural Economics*. 2006: 35:207-219.
- [21] GoK. Ministry of Agriculture and rural development. Western Province annual report. Innovation adoption: the case of cocoa in Ghana. *Journal of Policy Model*.2010: 21: 167-184.
- [22] Ariga J, Jayne TS, Kibaara B, Nyoro JK. Trends and Patterns in Fertilizer use by Smallholder Farmers in Kenya, 1997-2007. Tegemeo Working Paper No.32: Tegemeo Institute, Egerton University. Nairobi. 2008.

- 357 [23] Amudavi D. Influence of socio-economic factors on adoption of maize related technology by
358 smallholder farmers: the case of Hamisi division, Kenya. Unpublished MSc. Thesis, Melbourne
359 University. 1993.
- 360 [24] Wasula S.L. Factors influencing smallholders' adoption of vegetation strips and dispersed trees on
361 cropland agroforestry practices in Nakuru district, Kenya. International Journal of disaster management
362 and risk reduction. 2011; 3(2): 28-33
- 363 [25] Nkonya E.M, Schroeder T, Norman D. Factors affecting adoption of improved maize seed and
364 fertilizer in Northern Tanzania. Journal of Agricultural Economics. 1997; 48(1), 1-12
- 365 [26] Akinola A, Owombo P. Economic analysis of adoption of mulching technology in yam growing in
366 Osun State, Nigeria. International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry. 2012; 2(1): 1-6
- 367 [27] Salasya B, Mwangi W, Mwabu D, Diallo A. Factors influencing adoption of stress tolerant maize
368 hybrid (WH 502) in Western Kenya. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2007; 2(10), 544-551.
- 369 [28] Jackson M, Watts A. The Evolution of Social and Economic Networks. Journal of Economic Theory.
370 2002; 106(2): 265-295
- 371 [29] Mnadi F. N. and Akwiwu, C. D. 2006. Adoption of proven soil management practices by rural women
372 in Imo State. International Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences. 2930: 262-267.
- 373 [30] Wasula S.L. Determinants of factors determining smallholders' adoption of Bambara (*Vigna*
374 *subterranea*) as food security at household level crop in Kakamega County, Kenya. Unpublished PhD
375 Thesis Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kakamega, Kenya. 2014
- 376 [31] Rogers E.M. Diffusion of Innovations (Fourth Edition). New York: Free Press. 2003
- 377 [32] Tittonell P. Targeting Resources within Diverse, Heterogeneous and Dynamic Farming Systems
378 KIRIBHCO experience in tribal region of Gujarat. Journal of India. Agricultural Economics. 2008; 27. 33-39
- 379 [33] Wambugu P, Mutisya K. An analysis of factors determining adoption of the recommended maize
380 technology's package in Makuyu Division, Murang'a Sub-county, Kenya. 2007.
- 381 [34] Cook C.C, Grut M. Agroforestry in Sub-sahara Africa; A farmer's Perspective. World Bank Technical
382 Paper Number 112. The World Bank, Washington DC, USA. 1989.
- 383 [35] Mwangi M, Kariuki S. Factors determining adoption of new agricultural technology in developing
384 countries. Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development. 2015; 6950, 2
- 385 [36] Ouma J, Murithi F, Mwangi W, Verkuijl H, Gethi M, H. De Groote. Adoption of Maize Seed and
386 Fertilizer Practices in Embu District, Kenya. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT. 2002.
- 387 [37] Baidu-Forson J. Factors influencing adoption of land-enhancing technology in the Sahel: lessons
388 from a case study in Niger. Agricultural Economics. 1999; 20: 231-239.
- 389 [38] Boahene K, Snijders T.A.B, Folmer H. An integrated socio-economic analysis of innovation adoption:
390 The case of cocoa in Ghana. Journal of Policy model. 1999; 21: 167-184.
- 391 [39] Velonzo T, Wakhungu J. Reversing Environmental Degradation through Innovative Agricultural
392 Practices; Policy Options for Kenya; International Journal of Disaster Management and Risk Reduction.
393 2011; 3 (2): 17-27
- 394 [40] Okuthe M. Socio-economic determinants of adoption of improved sorghum varieties and practices
395 among smallholder farmers in Kakamega County. 2007
- 396 [41] Teklewold H, Dadi L, Yami A, Dana N. Determinants of adoption of poultry technology: a double-
397 hurdle approach. 2006