



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_28892
Title of the Manuscript:	Training Needs of Agricultural Extension Agents Using Borich Needs AssessmentModel
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Page1, after fourth word in number 7 put full stop, then, delete all text in that line. Number 8 to 16 rearrange the abstract by starting with design, methods of data collection and analysis, major results, conclusion and recommendations. Number 16 to 20 delete the text as it is irrelevant. Here just put striking results which draw attention of the audiences. Number 27 after first word add a sentence which link food production and agricultural extension worker's knowledge and skills. Number 28 after first word put a sentence which start with Training in number 31 and ends with task in number 33. Number 33 add in-service training for after twelfth word. Number 34 delete fourth to ninth word and add have. Number 35 remove coma after fourth word. Number 41 replace Definition with argument.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Number 42 delete coma and initials after first word. Number 43 remove full stop before bracket. Number 45 delete the sentence which start with Planning and all text up to number 50 in page 2 which end with successfully. Number 51 remove coma after first and second words. Number 54 remove coma after second word in bracket. Number 55 after ninth word insert text from number 141 up to 189. Rephrase/summarize text in number 171 up to 188. Number 56 to 58 rephrase to reflect the Borich Need Assessment Model. Number 60 add training after twelfth word. Number 65 delete text after fifth word up to number 68. Number 72 delete text after seventh word up to number 75. Number 77 up to 87 rewrite as significance of the study as is supposed to reflect results obtained for each specific objective, that is, how useful are the results. Number 89 rephrase a sentence which start with Around and ends with area in number 91 in page 3. Start by indicating the location of the study,	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	research design, sample size and how the sample was selected. Number 91 after fourth word insert a text from number 124 which start with This paper and ends with needs in number 132. Number 97 rephrase the sentence which start with The first and end in number 101 with search. Number 108 delete the sentences which start with To up to number 112 which ends with raw data. Number 135 delete the sentence which start with Researcher and end with demand in number 138 in page 4. Number 191 delete the text up to tenth word in number 198 as they do not support the setting of the study. Number 198 up to 206 in page 5 were supposed to come after number 207 up to 538. Number 205 text number 13 in Table 1 is not statistically significant as its p-value is above the set critical value. Besides, the discussion in relation to the research question is lacking. Number 207 up to 538 rephrase the sub-title of this part. Rephrase the language used in the study items, the use of I know, I can, I understand better measure competence level than training needs (See how the discussion of the concepts were missed in number 164 up to 165). Then, use the means of the twelve sub categories of training needs to run ANOVA and find if there was	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	statistical difference in the agricultural extension workers' training needs. Number 541 up to 550 9 in page 18 up to 19 rewrite to answer the research questions and its implications. Number 552 up to 559 in page 19 the recommendations is supposed to show what you recommend to whom or which institutions as per conclusion made.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Based on the references given, sharpen the problem part at the end of the introduction. The author can either use research question or objectives.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript has strong results and up to date references which need to be reorganised. Borich Need Assessment Model and modified Delta N Need Assessment Methods hardly discussed. See how the point is missed in number 163 up to 164.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Cosmas Benedict Mabalika Haule</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Geography, The Open University of Tanzania, Tanzania</i>