



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_28533
Title of the Manuscript:	Dimensions of spatial change in an Egyptian village
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The study presented analyzes the development of a village from 1930 to 2015 , using the GIS technology The biggest problem of the study is that there are no intermediate maps between 1930 and 2003. In theory then the village in more than 70 years may have been destroyed and rebuilt over and over again. To have a scientific value is necessary to have maps of smaller time intervals	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Pag. 1: <i>The majority of Egypt's population (57%) are living in rural areas and depend mainly on agriculture. The total cultivated agricultural land in Egypt is 7.8 million faddan (5.4 million faddan in the old agricultural areas and 2.4 million faddan in the new reclaimed areas).</i> Bibliography ? - Pag. 3: <i>This village was selected since it is the Author's village where He was born andbrought up within a farm family. He continues to maintain close contact with its inhabitants.The Author conducted his research for higher degree studies on the village of Shoeshai andwas awarded his M. Sc. and Ph. D. degrees from Reading University in England in 1974 and1977 respectively.</i>Remove. Unnecessary - Pag. 4. Methodology. What was the GIS methodology used to calculate the differences ? Which tool was used on 10.2	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	ARCGIS ? It must better specify what has been done • Pag. 5 <i>The most important of these are: the two schools were established in 1980, three religious institutes established by self efforts of Shoeshai inhabitants in 1992, 1996, and 2002, a health unit established in 1984, two cooperative associations established in 1960, a youth centre and a post office established in 1982, and a number of mosques. How do you sustain this argument if there are no maps between 1930 and 2003 ?</i> • Pag. 5 Conclusion are to be improved . Paradoxically, those at the end of the abstract are better and more explicit • References are deficient and should be expanded	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	More than an article, seems an abstract of a study presented at a conference . Indeed, perhaps it is. As a scientific article appears little depth	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Marco Ferretti</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of animal production, University of Pisa, Italy</i>