



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_28525
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF RETURNS FROM CASSAVA PRODUCTION AND PROCESSING ON POVERTY AMONG WOMEN IN ABIA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Abstract being the miniature part of the paper, The author needs to add a bit of discussion and recommendation 2. Author needs to revisit Results and discussion to make sure the results are thoroughly compared and contrasted with findings from other scholars. The only comparison made is under 3.1 i.e. Socio economic characteristics of respondents 3. Specific recommendations based on the work done need to be added	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. May wish to review the title to shorten it to around 15 words	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good manuscript	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mtaita
Department, University & Country	Africa University, Zimbabwe