



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_28454
Title of the Manuscript:	Coping Strategies of the Resource Poor during Natural Hazards: A Case of Polder No. 29 under Dumuria Upazila of Khulna District in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Could you please make some analysis of relationship between socio-economic characteristics of each village and loss by natural hazards, and scoping strategies. - Coping strategies is the main part of paper however I am not satisfied by the written information at the last section of the paper. Please make this section be informative. - Line 174: In the "3.5 Number of Disadvantaged People Affected by Natural Hazards" section: Please clarify/give detailed explanation on how could you classify who is affected person? For example: loss of lives, property, damage on health, etc. - The paper should be more analytic than descriptive as the author(s) has done.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Line 17: BWDB should be written in full for the first appearance - Could you please add some more recent references if possible	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- The manuscript has good introduction showing the background of the impact of natural hazards in study sites historically. - Good approach and methodologies however the author(s) should make intensive analysis based on the field survey data.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hoang Trung Thanh
Department, University & Country	Center for Environmental Research, Vietnam Institute of Meteorology, Hydrology and Climate Change, Vietnam