



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_28172
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Public Fisheries Extension Service on the Selected Socioeconomic Aspects of the Clients in Two Selected Sub-districts under Barisal District in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	More than a discussion of the manuscript, it seems an analysis of the information obtained, because not take into account the references that were used in the introduction. Therefore, it would be appropriate that in the discussion section be supported with the references presented.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I consider that the manuscript is well made and supported by the non-parametric analysis for the kind of variables used (qualitative). However, It is necessary that authors modify the discussion in the manuscript for accept it	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico