



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_27441
Title of the Manuscript:	The Dynamics of Income Inequality in Rural Areas in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The literature review of the study is very comprehensive. It touched almost all the key areas of the study. However, the literature review has not been able to touch the area of income inequality based on post planting and post harvesting periods which is a very crucial area in this study. Hence, the author(s) should review this and incorporates it in the introduction. 2. The means of livelihoods especially in each of the geo-political zones should be incorporated in the study area.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. A source is needed for the statement in line 12 2. In line 38, replace Clementi et al (2014) with appropriate figure as done for other sources. 3. In line 48, it should be 'to achieve' not 'to achieved' 4. In line 133, cancel one sahel and replace it with an appropriate word there, possibly sudan. 5. The statement in line 137 needs a source.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K.A. Oluyole
Department, University & Country	Economics section, Cocoa Research Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan, Nigeria