



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_26687
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of MGNREGA on quality of life of MGNREGA beneficiaries in Punjab
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 116 – the 8th word should be written as ‘analyses’ not ‘analysed’ Line 119 – Delete the letter ‘s’ in the 4th word to read as ‘involve’ and the 10th word should be in the past tense ‘occurred’. This way, the sentence will to be grammatically correct Lines 148, 151, 155, 157, 159, 161, 163 166, 168 172, 269 – Delete the contractions (etc & i.e) and replace with a full list of the items under discussion. Line 165 – the 6th word ‘kuccha’ and the 12th word ‘pucca’ should either be replaced with English words of the same meaning or italicize the words and explain what kind of building materials they are. Line 277 – Delete the 3rd word (the conjunction ‘and’). Put a full-stop and capitalize the next word ‘In...’ This is a way of breaking the long sentence into two.	
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	None	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Samuel Ochuodho</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of History, Geography and Development Studies; University of Eastern Africa, Baraton, Kenya</i>