



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_26687
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of MGNREGA on quality of life of MGNREGA beneficiaries in Punjab
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Abstract is too long. It should briefly highlight the research issues, research methodology, and comparative (not numerical) results of the study. - p2 : "huge investments in rural areas" by GOI need to be numerically mentioned programme-wise for recent years. - pp 3, 4 :a) date of Ref to EconomicTimes; b) Ref to World Bank approach to Impact Assessment - p 5 : a) mention major rural works undertaken in the study areas beneficial to the respondents; b) briefly explain X and Z scores, and rankings min the tables that follow. - P 10 : Conclusions should summarise the findings of the study, followed by specific recommendations emerging therefrom.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arif A. Waqif
Department, University & Country	School of Management, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India