



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_26261
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES SELECTIONS AND ADOPTIONS OF RURAL HOUSEHOLDS IN THE COTTON BASIN OF BENIN
Type of Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
▪ The author has made an attempt to describe the variables and their expected signs. However, there is still no real connection between the utility or the cost model evoked and the variables chosen and the signs expected. Rather, the author tries to anticipate the sign observed on the basis of a "strategy" chosen by the farmer, thus begging the question of what is at the origin of such a strategy. The statement of the hypotheses on the variables is often trivial and at times non sensical. For example: in line 208 "We make the hypothesis that the choice of an agricultural activity by a producer is conditioned by the utility which It gets to him." or, in line 227, "We make the hypothesis that the other agricultural activities associated with the cotton (actctonts), not identified in this article do not induce high agricultural income. This strategy impacts negatively and significantly (-) the well-being of the producer". The explanations for the counterintuitive results in the extension and the insurance variable are very weak and entirely ad hoc. The new text is also repetitive and in very bad English.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pasquale Lucio Scandizzo
Department, University & Country	Center for International Economic Studies (CEIS) and Economics Foundation, University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Italy