



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_26261
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES SELECTIONS AND ADOPTIONS OF RURAL HOUSEHOLDS IN THE COTTON BASIN OF BENIN
Type of Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Although I realize that the author has made a considerable effort to respond to my criticisms, I don't think that the revisions are acceptable. The part added is confused and incoherent. While the random utility model is evoked, no clear relationship is established with the estimates and the expectations about the signs of the variables. The explanation of the negative sign for the extension coefficient, as most of the results, is a mere rationalization. It may be true, but has nothing to do with hypothesis testing and ignores the vast literature on the subject. The author insists also in his claim that the extension results "invalidates" previous results from other researchers. I find somewhat puzzling that the modifications of the paper are in a much less understandable English than the rest of the paper and words like "speculation" appear to be used without a clear notion of their meaning in economics or even in common language.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Pasquale Lucio Scandizzo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Center for International Economic Studies (CEIS) and Economics Foundation, University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Italy</i>