



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_26235
Title of the Manuscript:	Socioeconomic Determinants of Rice Production among Small-scale Farmers in the Shama-Ahanta East District of Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction -the authors take a long period before they introduce readers to the research problem. I feel that most of the information in paragraph 1 should actually be in paragraph 2. -line 51-53: if smallholder farmers are growing rice on less than a ha, what is their yield/ha? What is the recommended yield/ha by rice seed houses? -line 60-61 why is dependence rice imports bad? Maybe Ghana does not have a comparative advantage in producing rice. -line 99-105: does this district have 5 communities only? -how many communities are there in this district which prompted the selection of 5? -n=320, how was this sample size determined and how were the farmers selected from the 5 communities? -line 111: ref 1978 is too old, are there no new references -line 114: can the authors use scientific measures and not the 50kg bags -line 135: what informed the choice of the variables used in the model? -line 137: need for academic language -table 2: what is the nature of variables i.e continuous or dichotomous -need for consistency in rounding off figures especially on farm size	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	-line 171: what does male refer to? 174-176: put information about R², Pseudo R², F value and Adjusted R² 255-262: there is no conclusion here. The authors need to put a conclusion and redo their recommendations.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Byron Zamasiya</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Centre for Applied Social Sciences, University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe</i>