



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_26123
Title of the Manuscript:	Profitability Analysis of Timber Trade in Benue State, Nigeria: Implication for Poverty Alleviation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Keywords I suggest the author substitutes poverty with poverty alleviation which is key to the study. Line 36 source 12: the author wrote that that: delete another that Line 61: Constitutes and not constitute This is a well-thought paper about whether timber trade contributes to poverty alleviation. The author should not the following minor revision as listed below. Methodology I suggest the author includes study design. From introduction the design seems to be analytical study. Line 95: Hence a face to face interview conducted with the respondents (the author omitted 'with'). 4.3 Line 241 should be youths and not youth (add an 's') The author should include:	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Acknowledgement Competing interests	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Musitha Me
Department, University & Country	University of Limpopo, South Africa