



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_26014
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY AND PRODUCTION RISK OF MAIZE PRODUCTION: EVIDENCE FROM GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The main objective of this manuscript is to evaluate the technical efficiency and production risk of maize production in Brong-Ahafo Region of Ghana. I think the topic is interesting and the paper is well constructed. I thus suggest acceptance for publication subject to some minor revision. The minor comments are described as follows.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Instead of In y_i, please revise eq(8) and eq(9) by $\ln y_i$. 2. Some articles cited in text are missing in Reference list, for example, Al-hassan, (2012) cited in page 2; Essilfie et al. (2001) cited in Page 2; Ryan and Wales, 2006, cited in page 6. 3. Please revise the second article in Reference lists, that is Ahmad, et al. (2002) by inserting the year between the authors and the title.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Erwin T. J. Lin</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Marketing and Logistics, MingDao University, Taiwan</i>