



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_25474
Title of the Manuscript:	Long Term Performance of Cereal Crops in Gujarat - A Critical Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The title shall be changed to 'Performance of Cereals in Gujarat - An Empirical Analysis'. 2. Several grammatical and typographical errors exist throughout the manuscript like line 7, 29, 32... . 3. Line 60 says data collection period is 1970-71 to 2011-12 but the sub-period starts with 1971-72. Why? Also, the end of sub-period 1 is not succeeding year for sub-period 2. 4. Line 80 says b_1 is the growth rate which is absolutely wrong. It is the slope coefficient which is given in the parenthesis. This creates a dubious understanding of the methodology by the authors. 5. The formula for CDV index is $\text{Instability (\%)} = \text{CV} \times (1-R^2)^{0.5}$. But in the manuscript some other formula is given without any literature source.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. It is not clear why the authors have used 1971 census despite the latest (Line 51)? 2. Since the study has used the secondary data, it can be updated till recent years. 3. One additional table for overall period shall be given that furnishes the +ve growth-low instability districts against +ve growth-high instability, -ve growth-low instability and -ve growth-high instability. Low and high instability shall be categorized based on the average value of all districts. This will help to prioritize policies. 4. A few policy recommendations have to be drawn.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study gains significance as it shows the districts in Gujarat that has registered high growth coupled with low instability. This helps policy makers to divert more resources for those districts. However, the results are discussed in brief, there is no discussion on the economic reasons/policies for high/low growth vis-à-vis high/low instability. Also, the authors have loosely written the manuscript with several mistakes and grammatical errors like using 'was' instead of 'has'. It is strongly suggested to incorporate all the above corrections before getting published.	
---	---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Sendhil R</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>ICAR-Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, India</i>