



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_25260
Title of the Manuscript:	Local Government in building up disaster preparedness among the community
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The problems identified herein and the challenges they pose to humanity ought to be articulated within the overall framework of climate change, global warming, developing countries and current debates in Conference of Parties (COPs), sponsored by the United Nations on issues of climate change, global warming and related strategies, e.g., adaptation and mitigation. Disasters as mentioned in the paper are also extensively discussed, debated and well-illustrated in successive reports of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). For example, the IPCC's 4th Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Summary for Policymakers, http://www.ipcc.ch/SPM2feb07.pdf last visited 20 March 2015. <u>We now have the latest IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) 2013 finalised in 2014.</u> The world response to these environmental disasters was the adoption of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and, the Kyoto Protocol. A new treaty is being drafted. The Stern Report and his book that followed are	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	quite instructive: <i>The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review</i> (2007), by Nicholas Stern. It is hoped that this short background will alert the author to the following problems identified in the paper:- 1. Lack of in-depth literature review 2. A poor theoretical framework 3. Insufficient academic referencing (author to consult the house-style of the publication) Otherwise, the research so far is quite impressive.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	N/A	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Z N Jobodwana</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of South Africa, South Africa</i>