



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_25251
Title of the Manuscript:	Adoption percentage of azolla production: a post training evaluation
Type of the Article	Review paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The manuscript is now considerably improved compare to its initial version. However, I'm not sure that the manuscript should be published as "Review paper". The General Guideline for Authors of the journal specifies that review papers "will not have empirical data acquired by the authors". The manuscript presents empirical data. Perhaps it should be published as "Short research article", if the discussion section is improved (it is suggested to compare, contrast and discuss the results and procedures with the results of other authors). The author seems to agree with some comments but has not yet made changes to the manuscript. For example: “1. I will include the name of the region” “2. I will rewrite the Abstract” I cannot review changes that have not yet been made. Journals, search engines, and indexing and abstracting services classify papers using keywords. An adequate list of keywords, will increase the chances of your paper being cited. Words in the title are automatically included in indexes, and keywords serve as additional pointers. For that reason, I suggested changing the keywords. My intention was not to confuse the author.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Roxana Piastrellini</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidad Tecnológica Nacional, Argentina</i>