



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_24403
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Antidumping Measure on Indian Imports of Chinese Raw Silk
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. Authors should give in the text the reference of the model in the economic or econometric literature 2. For the 2nd coefficient estimate, authors wrote "To interpret the coefficient estimate we used the formula $(e^{\beta}-1)*100$". If I agree on this, based on growth rate retrieval from a semi-log regression -- i.e. the log transformation of $Y = Y_0(1 + g)^t$ -- , why not keep the same interpretation for the 3rd and 4th coefficient estimates? Normally, the formula is applicable only to the trend variable (t) to get the annual growth rate (g). Let's admit that it is also applicable to coefficient estimates of the dummy variable (assuming average annual growth from one period to the other). Therefore: 2nd estimate = 1.51 then growth rate is $(e^{1.51}-1)*100 = 352.67\%$ 3rd estimate = 0.23 then growth rate should be $(e^{0.23}-1)*100 = 25.8\%$ instead of 23% (non-tariff period) 4th estimate = -0.28 then growth rate should be $(e^{-0.28}-1)*100 = -24.4\%$ instead of -28% (tariff period). Authors should consider this last observation.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barthelemy G. Honfoga
Department, University & Country	School of Agricultural economics and rural sociology, University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin