



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_24403
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Antidumping Measure on Indian Imports of Chinese Raw Silk
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Authors did not explain how they attended the corrections suggested. Writing Ok everywhere doesn't provide any evidence for the corrections. For example, my questions on the Dummy variable remain unanswered. Authors should provide a <u>strong reference</u> on including the 'Dummy and trend' interaction in the model, i.e. $\beta_4 D_t^* t$, and say clearly how the coefficients β_2 (in $\beta_2 D_t$) and β_4 (in $\beta_4 D_t^* t$) would be interpreted to indicate the effect of the Dummy variable. The explanation provided below the second model is not convincing in this respect. For more clarity, I suggest that Authors provide in the methodology section the sign expectations for each of the coefficients β_2, β_3, β_4, and say how to interpret them. This can be done in a Table. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION "The second coefficient estimate presented in Table 4, shows that during the tariff period immediately after the imposition of duties the quantity of Chinese raw silk imports had increased hugely by 356.77 % all else equal." Authors should explain how this result can be retrieved from Table 4. In this table, the second coefficient (β_2) is 1.51, which means 151% increase in imports, right? In the discussion, I can't retrieve the specified growth rates from the coefficients in Table 4. For example, the coefficient of the trend variable T is 0.23, meaning a 23% growth rate. Where did you get 26.9% and 25.15% from? Many other queries remained unanswered. Moving from Table 4 to the figures in the discussion is quite not understandable. Authors should show the intermediary calculations (if any) between the two sets of figures (Table 4 vs. Discussion).	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barthelemy G. Honfoga
Department, University & Country	School of Agricultural economics and rural sociology, University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin