

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_23881
Title of the Manuscript:	An Economic Analysis of Year Round Pangus Production and Social Impact in Some Selected Areas of Mymensingh District in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR’S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors’ response to final evaluator’s comments
<p>This revised version of the manuscript still has many problems.</p> <p>- According to the International of Zoological Nomenclature, the scientific name should be written in lower case (only the first character of the genus is in upper case), and should be written italicized or underlined (never both ways). Check the correct way to write according to “general guideline for authors”. Additionally, the scientific name is mentioned after the first description of the popular name;</p> <p>- This sentence (Pangus fish is one of the important species in aquaculture of Bangladesh. It occupies one of the top positions with respect to growth, production and nutritional composition and important for their fast growth, lucrative size, and good taste and high market demand) is in the wrong place. Include this information between the lines 29-39;</p> <p>- Check in “general guideline for authors” the correct way to present the references. Generally the first reference cited begging with number “1”. The present manuscript begging with “2”;</p> <p>- According to “general guidance for authors”: Keywords: [Put four to eight keywords } (Arial, inclined, 10 font, justified). In the present manuscript still has problems with this section;</p> <p>- Line 34: correct the word “pH”;</p> <p>- In scientific paper, the methodology of statistical analysis cannot be only mentioned that was used (as mentioned in the present study). It is necessary to describe briefly how it was performed (example, as it was compared the data among the groups; how statistical test was performed);</p> <p>- This revised version of the manuscript still does not have a proper scientific discussion (example: with reference to others scientific papers). ;</p> <p>- The reference list still presents some problem. Example: reference number [10] “Wikipedia. Cobb-Douglas” with wrong address. When I research this address “Wikipedia showed – Wikipedia does not have an article with this exact name”.</p> <p>- We can see several problem in this manuscript;</p> <p>Final remark: this current revised manuscript needs serious major revision.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Renato Massaaki Honji</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Departamento de Fisiologia, Universidade de Sao Paulo Rua do Matao, Brazil</i>