



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_23438
Title of the Manuscript:	Profitability and Competitiveness of the Main Crops Grown Under Rain-Fed Sector of Gadarif State, Sudan.
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1 / Remove Table 1 and Figure 1 because they are useless and also we must avoid placing table or figure in the introduction. Moreover figure 1 includes some mistakes: Area (million ha and not ha), place years in x-axis. This figure is not commented in the text.</p> <p>2 / Figure 1: Why the food crop production of the semi-mecanized sector diminishes whereas the traditional sector is growing quickly?</p> <p>3 / In the introduction you present the rain fed system agriculture with 2 sub-sectors: the semi-mechanized and the traditional one , while in the study you consider small, medium and large farms? Furthermore (Line 8) farms with an area of less than 210 ha are considered small farms while large have over 420 ha. These areas seem too exaggerated. What is the average size of the farms in Gadarif State?</p> <p>4/175 "small farms" were surveyed, how many medium and small farms that were selected for this study? Is this sample representative? Why for medium and large farms you use data from Ministry and not as for small scale farmers.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1/ Tab 3 and Tab 4 are very far from the corresponding text.</p> <p>2/ Proposed recommendations would be placed in the conclusion and not at 158-160 lines. Also for groundnuts, special recommendations (such as reduced taxes) should have been proposed corresponding to the social importance of this culture which mainly concerns femele-farmers.</p> <p>3/ Ref 4 in "References" is incomplete</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Bergaoui Ridha</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of animal production, National Institute of Agronomy, Tunisia</i>