



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_23167
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of productivity among cocoa farmers in Ondo State of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Introduction- In the Introduction part you have concentrated your study only in Nigeria which would not be accepted globally. So I have replaced Nigeria by 'Global scenario' and supported by some recent studied/ references which I have searched and used here. Include all these. 2. Methods- structured questionnaires are known as 'Semi-structured interviews or Semi-structured questionnaires' used by many researchers in the recently and recent past (which I have searched and thoroughly gone through these papers). So your methodology section would be strengthened with these references. Include all these. 3. Results- The field results should be written in the 'Past Perfect tense' not in the present tense. So please change it. It is because you have observed and done it in the field/laboratory/... 4. Conclusions- This is the repetition of Introduction, methods and results. Please give the concrete conclusions of your study only. 5. References- Please include all the references which I have mentioned in the Text (in Introduction and Methodology).	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is acceptable after the inclusion of all the above mentioned comments and corrections /suggestions made in the text.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surendra S Bargali
Department, University & Country	Department of Botany, Kumaun University, India