



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_23167
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of productivity among cocoa farmers in Ondo State of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author should not confuse OLS and stochastic frontier analysis in his/her methodology. He/she specified a stochastic Cobb-Douglas production function yet results show that he/she analysed data using OLS. If he/she intended to use OLS to analyse a production function, it should be clearly specified. But should give adequate reason for choosing OLS to analyse production function instead of Stochastic Frontier Analysis or Data Envelopment Analysis	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is interesting to read, only raises eye brows on methodology	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nakanwagi Teddy Triza
Department, University & Country	Makerere University, Buganda, Uganda