



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_22827
Title of the Manuscript:	The Economics of Taming Teesta River: How it limits the choice of Agricultural Crop Diversification
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	You find bellow my comments of your paper: - The presentation style and the logic is not prepared well at an standard of scientific publication and thus cannot be considered publishable in its present form. - The objectives and motivation of the study are not defined in the abstract. - Complete the abstract with the implications of your findings. - The objective is too methodological, not scientific hypotheses. - The author should clearly justify their approach. - Please check the manuscript carefully for the correction errors on: punctuation errors, some unclear sentences, very long sentences... - Several statements require references - Cited material needs to be engaged with in a critical way it cannot simply be copied, and it must be referenced For these reasons and in this state, I recommend Serious Major revision	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Cadi Ayyad University, Morocco