



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_22058
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of participation in community-based agriculture and rural development project on socio-economic status of rural dwellers in northern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This study makes a good contribution to the journal. However, the presentation style and the content are not prepared well at a standard of scientific publication. Rewriting the whole manuscript are needed for this work to be reconsidered. I start with the first point of weakness, the references: Lines from 25 to 29: <i>"Community-based development is a form of development that takes place within the community through maximum participation of community members in design and implementation of the project that brings about improvement. It requires that the community has a structure and persons trained in appropriate methods of implementation. Usually, community-based development is small-scale, low-cost, and uses simple technologies. »</i> And Lines 36 and 37: <i>"Hence community-based development encompasses forms of development as well as the structures needed to achieve them. It is in favour of participatory,"</i> are copy past from: Haley N, 2007. Conflict and Resource Development in the Southern Highlands of Papua New Guinea ANU E Press, 2007: 1921313463, 9781921313462. 186 p. In addition to the problem of references: - The objectives and motivation of the study are not defined in the abstract. - The formulas used are not written with sharpness. - It is necessary again rewrite the formulas with	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	more legible letters - Please add the questionnaire in the appendices - The conclusion must adequately tie together the other elements of the paper such as theory, data and critical perspectives. - Lot of statements require references - Please check the manuscript carefully for the correction errors on punctuation errors, some unclear sentences. Cited material needs to be engaged with in a critical way it cannot simply be copied, and it must be referenced.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Karmaoui
Department, University & Country	Cadi Ayyad University, Morocco