



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_21644
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Nigerian Cocoa Farmers on Child Labour: Implication for Hazardous Child Labour.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper needs extensive editing for punctuation and grammar. The findings section also includes discussion and conclusions. The manuscript would be much easier to understand if findings were presented in one section with discussions and conclusions in a separate section. There is no indication of the scaling for the perceptions, knowledge, attitude, and practice measures. Defining High and Low as above or below the mean is not descriptive. For example, obviously the attitude results are a normal distribution, since 50% were above and 50% below the mean. The other measures are apparently slightly skewed. Not informative at all. The manuscript would be much more informative if frequency tables were used to show the responses for each item on the various instruments. As is, the reader has no idea what the items were, how many items were on the instrument, etc. Without these substantive changes, the manuscript does little to inform the reader. Without more information regarding the instrumentation, the recommendations are not	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	defensible. From what data is the recommendation made that all workers must be age 18 or older? Is that the only solution to the problem? Can farmers be educated so protect workers rather than not allowing younger workers to work (which will never happen)? What is the role of Extension in Nigeria to educate farmers and farm workers regarding hazardous work?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	How were the 40 farmers in each community selected? Figure 1: This is not clear. 30% completed primary school ONLY??? And 33% completed both? Line 158 indicates that 63% completed both.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Kirby Barrick
Department, University & Country	Department of Agricultural Education and Communication, University of Florida, USA