



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_21644
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Nigerian Cocoa Farmers on Child Labour: Implication for Hazardous Child Labour.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<u>Abstract</u> In this part the author should give information about not only the sample but also the method of the data analyses. Furthermore the survey date is given. <u>Keywords</u> 10 keywords are too much for this part. The child labour was given two times as a keyword <u>Introduction</u> First paragraph; Three references (line 32; line 36) are given in the text but they are not included in the references list. Author clarifies about children rights and the other important points for health of children and he mentions that there are no clear criteria and guidelines to prevent children rights. But he doesn't give any of the other papers which support that idea. Author explains the general ideas from some reports but again these references are not given in the reference list. Author should have mentioned about Nigeria's labour system and given some statistical data in order to validate how this study is important... <u>Methodology</u> This part is not enough to understand of the data used in the manuscript and methods to analyse of	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	data. In the manuscript survey variables and selection of the respondents must be explained clearly. He can show the statistics such kind of total labour and child labour etc. in Nigeria. Reference in line 110 is not given in the reference list <u>Major Findings:</u> <u>Author</u> shouldn't start the table results. Maybe he can start with the social - economic information the farmers in Nigeria. Then he can talk about the results. After this he has to discuss the results with the other academic papers' results, if it is not first paper in Nigeria in this subject. In figure 1 is about percentage or frequency. Please explain refresh it. Line 201 there have is written 2 times. The findings are only given the percentage and the basic statistics such as are mean, std dev., max and min. Author has to discuss these findings. He can't say with the percentage the perceptions of the farmers for child labour. He has to use and explain the other variables that are influence the perceptions. Conclusion He is explaining the general ideas about the subjects. Conclusion must be rewritten and he must focus on the survey area. Recommendation:	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 314 : “Despite such regulation, statistics proved that.....” It is not clear which statistics and regulation. It is therefore author should explain clearly. References I couldn’t find the half of list in text and visa versa. So author should check all the references in the text and reference list..	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As conclusion I major revision is necessary for this manuscript	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Burhan Ozkan
Department, University & Country	Department of agricultural economics, Akdeniz University, Turkey