



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_20729
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the Constraints to the Adoption of Improved Fish Farming Technologies by Farmers in Yola North and South Local Government Areas of Adamawa State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author should be more accurately explain the choice of the object of research, as worn meaning the study of only one area of Nigeria. If it is something stands out, then it had to write. If there is no difference, it was necessary to specify the scope and extent of the prevalence of the results, such as neighboring regions, all over the country and, possibly, the entire region.	
Minor REVISION comments	In addition, the author should be more closely define the genre of articles and more clearly indicate the sources of the data. If the conclusions of the article are based on regression equations, then it is desirable to check for autocorrelation, for example, by Durbin-Watson statistic.	
Optional/General comments	The article must be brought to the generally accepted view, highlighting. for example, the section "Discussion"	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sergey A. Surkov
Department, University & Country	International Institute of Management, Moscow, Russia