



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_17195
Title of the Manuscript:	Sorghum farmers' access to agricultural information related to water stress adaptation strategies through ICTs in the semi-arid region of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	You find bellow my comments of your paper: This Short Article contributes to addresses the problem of farmers' access to agricultural information related to water stress adaptation through ICTs in the semi-arid region of Cameroon. This paper presents an overview of the important concepts related to agricultural information through Information and Communication Technologies and explores the use of information from a total number of the 600 farmers. The overall manuscript is defensible, and the writing is generally clear. -The title brief identify clear the paper -The ABSTRACT indicates the main topics and results - The results are of high interest for decision maker Regarding the weaknesses of this Manuscript The paper form: - Lines 80-81: please add a reference - Please carefully check all references included in your paper: - o Line 116 : (FAO 2009), please use the Numeric Style - o Line 199: [11] and [10]?? Please respect the order. - o The reference [12] is missed in the text. - o Line 378 (Kabuli 2014), please use the	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Numeric Style - Tables and figures: For me, the following order of the table numbers is strange. - o Table 8. A: - o Table 9. A: - o Table 8. B: - o Table 9. B: - Figures from 1 to 5 must be in English - The same thing for the tables: Table 2, table 10 and 11 must be in English - Where is the figure 6 - You should keep the following rules: Each table and each figure have to be self understandable. The reader should get a first impression of the relevance of a contribution only by studying the tables and figures, and without reading the paper sections (...Table 9: ACCOACH ???). The paper content: - Where is it the questionnaire? Please add it in the appendices - The introduction is not motivating - Enrich the introduction by other references at global and regional scale - Clearly develop and discuss the experimental objectives and justify why you chose the selected method to achieve your objective; - Please check the manuscript carefully for the correction errors on : punctuation errors, some	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	unclear sentences, - The conclusions must adequately tie together the other elements of the paper such as theory, and data. For these reasons and in this state, I invite you to revise your manuscript .	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Cadi Ayyad University, Morocco